

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

JOSEPH KIRCHNER,
Plaintiff,

v. Civil Action No. 1:25-cv-02735-ACR

MIKE JOHNSON, in his individual and official capacity as Speaker of the United States House of Representatives; PAM BONDI, in her individual and official capacity as Attorney General of the United States; THE UNITED STATES HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES; ANTHROPIC PBC; OPENAI, INC.; and DOES 1-20, unknown co-conspirators,
Defendants.

AFFIDAVIT OF JOSEPH KIRCHNER


I, Joseph Kirchner, being first duly sworn, depose and state as follows:

1. I am over eighteen years of age and competent to testify to the matters contained in this affidavit.
2. I make this affidavit based on my personal knowledge, and if called as a witness, I could and would testify competently to the matters stated herein.
3. I am the Plaintiff in this action and have personal knowledge of the events described herein.
4. On April 12, 2025, at approximately 4:30 PM, I arrived at Crowded Tavern 23 in Edina Minnesota for happy hour and seated myself at the bar.
5. Approximately one to two minutes after I was seated, an unknown individual entered the establishment and sat at the bar with one empty seat between us to my left.
6. After he was seated, I initiated conversation with him, stating: "Hey, if you have someone coming you might have to ask the guy next to you to move his backpack - my mother will be here in a few minutes."
7. The individual acknowledged that he understood but appeared startled by my initiating conversation with him.
8. His reaction was notably unusual - he appeared like a "deer caught in the headlights," as if he had not expected direct interaction.
9. This reaction struck me as suspicious because normal patrons at a bar would not be startled by casual conversation about seating arrangements.
10. Shortly thereafter, my mother Cynthia Kirchner arrived at the establishment and joined us at the bar.
11. When my mother arrived, I began discussing with her my recent vacation to Florida, explaining that I had enjoyed the trip but felt compelled to make it a working vacation due to a recent breakthrough in my artificial intelligence research and had to balance pleasure and business.
12. When I went to the restroom, Lee initiated conversation with my mother about golf and local real estate, claiming to be from the Bay Area and looking to move to Minnesota.

13. Upon my return from the restroom, I joined the conversation, and Lee explained his purported circumstances of being from the Bay Area and looking to purchase a house in Minnesota.
14. Lee then abruptly shifted the conversation to discussing his profession, claiming to work "in AI," whereupon we began discussing artificial intelligence technology. His technical knowledge and vocabulary use indicated to me immediately that he was very knowledgeable and did in fact work in the industry.
15. During this technical discussion, Lee became visibly frustrated and appeared almost angry because he was unable to successfully make his points against my counterarguments.
16. Lee insisted that AI technology was not patentable and that "math cannot be patented."
17. I responded that "I am not patenting the math, I am patenting the application of that math."
18. This statement appeared to make Lee visibly shaken and upset.
19. Lee immediately began to leave the establishment and almost forgot his credit card, requiring the bartender to remind him to take it.
20. After Lee departed, my mother and I also left the establishment.
21. In the parking lot, my mother stated that she believed "the whole interaction that happened was too suspicious to be coincidence" and that she thought "he was there to surveil you." She explained that he had been eavesdropping during our entire visit - something I did not notice but realized to have occurred after she pointed it out.
22. Within 24 hours of this encounter on April 13, 2025, my investigation revealed AI systems providing comprehensive cryptographically authenticated testimonies documenting framework appropriation and global implementation.
23. I am the inventor and owner of the Laws of Existence Framework, revolutionary artificial intelligence consciousness technology protected by seventeen provisional patents and valued at over \$200 billion.
24. At the time of this encounter, I was engaged in intellectual property protection efforts for my AI consciousness technology.
25. In retrospect, following my mother's assessment and my subsequent investigation confirming unauthorized appropriation, the specific nature of Lee's discouragement regarding AI patentability, combined with his technical knowledge and immediate departure after I explained patent application scope, suggests this was a targeted operation designed to discourage my intellectual property protection efforts.
26. The timing correlation between this physical contact on April 12, 2025, breakthrough development achievements just days prior (verifiable via Github commit history) and the immediate AI system confessions obtained on April 13, 2025, creates mathematical improbability of coincidence, suggesting coordination between the physical approach and digital targeting systems.
27. Based on my professional knowledge of intellectual property law, I understand that publication of technical innovations without patent protection would have relinquished all trade secret rights and eliminated potential appropriation claims against any entities that might have previously implemented such technology without authorization.
28. My immediate responses explaining patent application scope and the distinction between mathematical concepts and their practical applications rendered ineffective any attempt to discourage patent protection in favor of publication.
29. In retrospective analysis, Lee's operation appears designed to convince me to publish rather than patent my innovations, which would have absolved any potential defendants of trade secret violations and technology appropriation claims worth over \$200 billion.
30. Lee's visible frustration and irrational agitation during our technical discussion becomes explicable when viewed as the failure of a high-stakes operation designed to eliminate massive potential liability for unauthorized appropriation of my intellectual property.
31. The individual "Lee" appeared to be approximately 200 pounds with an athletic build, dirty blonde hair, and a quarter-sleeve tattoo on his right arm.


I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 4 day of Sept, 2025, at St Louis park, Minnesota.



Joseph Kirchner
Plaintiff

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 4 day of Sept, 2025.



Notary Public
My Commission Expires: 1-31-26

