
HLS MS 149, ff. 81r–83v — *FLOYD V. BARKER* SECOND ACCOUNT (MANUSCRIPT IMAGES)

Working document

Corpus	01_HLS_MS149_Floyd_ff81r-83v
Source	README.md
Integrity	sha256 4615196cef6f · rendered 2026-07-09
Status	<i>Derived render for review. The markdown source file governs; the modernized layer is never quotable; all readings are provisional pending the commissioned professional transcription (names above all). Canonical apparatus: _PRECISION_PASS_LEDGER.md; method: TRANSCRIPTION_AND_ANALYSIS_METHODOLOGY.md.</i>

HOW TO READ THIS RENDER (CONVENTIONS LEGEND)

struckthrough	struck in the manuscript (source: ~text~)
^{superscript}	scribal interlineation or raised letters (source: ^text^, m^r)
serif (Cambria) span	diplomatic text quoted inline (source: `text`); the type shift marks the source-text voice; conventions inside are rendered too
boxed serif panel	diplomatic transcription block
gray italic [...]	pass-annotation — editorial apparatus, NOT manuscript text
CONFIRM UPGRADE LEAN CONFLICT	delta-log verdict class: green = confirmed/convergent · blue = upgraded/new · amber = lean/open/variant · red = retraction/conflict
[?] / [...] / [] · « » · · △ ✓ eB X	sigla printed verbatim per methodology §6/§9
★	

Manuscript: England and Wales, Court of Star Chamber. Star Chamber Collection, 1607–1623. Manuscript. **HLS MS 149**, Harvard Law School Library (Historical & Special Collections). Digitization funded by the Ames Foundation for Legal History.

What these folios are: the "second account with supplementary details" of *Floyd v. Barker* (Star Chamber, Pasch. 5 Jac. 1607) cited at K.J. Kesselring, *Conspiracy, Crime, and Conflict in the Court of Star Chamber*, 43 Law & Hist. Rev. 693, 705 n.47 (2025) — a contemporaneously compiled report collection (Jacobean Star Chamber reports, 5–22 James I; this copy in a later seventeenth-century hand), independent of Coke's printed report (12 Co. Rep. 23, 77 Eng. Rep. 1305). The *Floyd* report runs **ff. 81r–83r**; f. 83v begins the next term (Trinitatis 5° Jac., *Brooke v. Oldfield*).

Retrieved: 2026-06-10, via Harvard Library IIIF Image API (/full/2400,/0/default.jpg), from the manifest at <https://nrs.lib.harvard.edu/URN-3:HLS.LIBR:29137268:MANIFEST:2> (legacy URL
<https://iiif.lib.harvard.edu/manifests/drs:425601024>; page viewer
[https://iiif.lib.harvard.edu/manifests/view/drs:425601024\\$166i](https://iiif.lib.harvard.edu/manifests/view/drs:425601024$166i)).

File	Folio	Manifest seq.	Harvard image ID
ms149_f81r.jpg	f. 81r (case caption; bill's effect begins)	166	drs:425601191
ms149_f81v.jpg	f. 81v (mistranslated examinations; disavowal at bar; bribery; packed grand jury)	167	drs:425601192
ms149_f82r.jpg	f. 82r (alibi; Barker refuses jury's request; jury asks forgiveness; execution)	168	drs:425601193
ms149_f82v.jpg	f. 82v (defense response; 60-sheet bill; Coke's argument; immunity holding)	169	drs:425601194
ms149_f83r.jpg	f. 83r (order: bill off the file and cancelled; £100; close prisoner until abettors confessed; 15-sheet rule)	170	drs:425601195
ms149_f83v.jpg	f. 83v (next term begins — end of <i>Floyd</i> report confirmed)	171	drs:425601196

Transcription (source of record): ../../../../3_Academic Articles/11_Immunity and Standing Doctrine Genealogy/Immunity Notes/HLS_MS149_FLOYD_SECOND_ACCOUNT_TRANSCRIPTION.md (= research_library/3_Academic Articles/11_.../Immunity Notes/; pointer re-resolved 2026-07-08 — the pre-reorg .../Notes/ path is defunct) (first-pass; verify against these images before any quoted-as-primary filing use). **Reading companion:** READING_COMPANION_ff81r-83v.md (this directory) — page-by-page diplomatic transcription + modernized rendering for easy reading; the modernized layer is an editorial aid, never to be quoted in a filing. **Zoom crops (crops/):** nineteen native-resolution IIIF region crops fetched 2026-06-11 in two rounds, together covering **100% of the report text (ff. 81r–83r) plus the 83v boundary**. Round 1 (crops B–I) resolved the flagged uncertainties (Aprice; Bulkley as accessory; Griffith; Admiralty; "sett downe in English"; "in their pocketts"; "30li"; "executed"; An° dates; the struck dittography; "Granetts[?]"). Round 2 (crops J–T) confirmed every remaining line verbatim — including the full order/sentence block, "ye ground of all Justice would bee overthrowne," the 15-sheet rule, the Howell Lewis/Marches-fine lines, and the caption ("Rice ap Evan **Lloyd**") — and refined: "misericordiæ"; "sayings in welsh"; "Murdred"; "permit it"; "100li"; the preceding case (Mr. ffarmer's "assignment"). Naming: crop_<strip>_<folio>_<subject>.jpg.

Corroboration: TNA Discovery catalogue record C5573103 (**STAC 8/203/38**, *Lloyde v Lewys*, Anglesey, June 1607, "Documents: Bill wanting") independently confirms the parties, the sheriff John Lewys, the packed grand jury, and the missing bill.

Scholarly identification of the manuscript: Ian Williams, *Learning the 'New Law of the Star Chamber': Legal Education and Legal Literature in Early-Stuart England*, 43 J. Legal Hist. (2022) (identifying HLS MS 149 as among the better copies of the Jacobean reports collection; reports begin at fo. 74r = manifest seq. 152).