
CANONICAL TRANSCRIPT — MEMBRANE 004 (TNA STAC 8/203/38)

Canonical transcript (edition layer: diplomatic determination + modernized aid; the working files remain the audit stratum)

Corpus	01_Transcripts
Source	TRANSCRIPT_M004.md
Integrity	sha256 b42833400f8c · rendered 2026-08-26
Status	<i>Derived render for review. The markdown source file governs; the modernized layer is never quotable; all readings are provisional pending the commissioned professional transcription (names above all). Canonical apparatus: _PRECISION_PASS_LEDGER.md; method: TRANSCRIPTION_AND_ANALYSIS_METHODOLOGY.md.</i>

HOW TO READ THIS RENDER (CONVENTIONS LEGEND)

strikethrough	struck in the manuscript (source: ~~text~~)
^{superscript}	scribal interlineation or raised letters (source: ^text^, m^r)
serif (Cambria) span	diplomatic text quoted inline (source: `text`); the type shift marks the source-text voice; conventions inside are rendered too
boxed serif panel	diplomatic transcription block
gray italic [...]	pass-annotation — editorial apparatus, NOT manuscript text
CONFIRM UPGRADE LEAN CONFLICT	delta-log verdict class: green = confirmed/convergent · blue = upgraded/new · amber = lean/open/variant · red = retraction/conflict
[?] / [...] / [] · « » · · △ ✓ eB ✕ ★	sigla printed verbatim per methodology §6/§9

CONTENTS

STATEMENT OF EDITORIAL METHOD.....	3
LEFT PAGE — ANSWER 3: THE BILL'S OBJECT AND THE STANDING ANSWER — L1-L19 · tiles L-b1-b4.....	4
LEFT PAGE — ANSWER 4: BEAUMARIS AND THE INNOCENCE FORMULA — L20-L31 · tiles L-b4-b6.....	5
LEFT PAGE — THE DYING CHARGE AND THE VOW — L32-L39 · tiles L-b7-b8.....	6

RIGHT PAGE — THE COMPOSITION CLAIM AND THE BULKELEY DENIAL — R0–R10 · tiles R·b1–b2.....	6
RIGHT PAGE — TOLD-BEFOREHAND AND THE WITNESS THEORY — R11–R19 · tiles R·b3–b4.....	7
RIGHT PAGE — THE BILL-INSPECTION DEMAND AND THE QUOTE — R20–R30 · tiles R·b4–b5.....	8
RIGHT PAGE — ANSWER 5: THE PROCUREMENT DENIAL AND THE SELF-AUTHORSHIP CLOSE — R31–R46 · tiles R·b5–b8.....	8
REVISION STRATUM AND PHYSICAL RECORD.....	10
OPEN ITEMS ON THIS MEMBRANE.....	10
CROSS-REFERENCES.....	11

Corpus	TNA STAC 8/203/38 (record copy RC8368179) — catalogued <i>Lloyde v. Lewys</i> , Anglesey, June 1607; the surviving Star Chamber file behind <i>Floyd v. Barker</i> , 77 Eng. Rep. 1305 (1607)
Membrane	004 of 010 — Rees ap Jevan Lloyd's deposition, continued (double opening): answer 3 (the bill's object; the Common-Pleas action; "because he was dead"), answer 4 (Beaumaris prison; the innocence formula; THE DYING CHARGE AND VOW; the composition claim; the witness theory; Sir Richard Bulkeley's quoted words), answer 5 (the procurement denial)
Master image	8368179_STAC_8_203_38_004.jpg · 5061×4227 px · sha256 a94519159b28985360252e84154cc707918419ab8eb4944a83ec94e738266e38 (verify: shasum -a 256 -c _FIXITY_SHA256_SOURCES.txt)
Canonical tiles	crops/canon/crop_canon_m004_{La,Lb,Ra,Rb}_b1..b8, nat + eB (64 tiles) · manifest crops/canon/_manifest.jsonl · coverage proven full height, no gaps
As-read record	crops/stac_p4d/crop_p4d004{La,Lb,Ra,Rb}_b1..b8[_eB] + the 2026-07-02 re-sweep bands + the precision zooms — see _WORKING_004_LINE_INDEX.md; the canon series is a deterministic re-derivation for citation, NOT the tiles-as-read (methodology §15.4)
Transcription level	strict diplomatic (methodology §6, §18.1); the Modernized layer is an editorial aid and is never quotable

Authority	the author's working transcription, first-hand method (methodology §2.1), quoted only with disclosure; professional verification transcription (2026-07-02 TNA-route ask closed by TNA 2026-07-15 — not a TNA service; independent professional commissioned via TNA's researchers list, engaged 2026-07-17) RETURNED IN FULL 2026-08-06 and conformed — CORRECTIONS_LOG #31-#32
Pass history	first sweep 2026-07-01 · line-by-line re-sweep 2026-07-02 · precision zooms 2026-07-02 · Phase 4D-4 line index + 23-item eB queues 2026-07-08 · this transcript assembled 2026-07-09 (assembly only — no new readings)
Rights	transcription text publishable under the Open Government Licence v3.0 — attribution "Contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0" · cite: The National Archives, ref. STAC 8/203/38 (record copy RC8368179) · membrane images NOT reproduced in this edition (TNA Image Library licence = separate application, pending) · quotation discipline per QUOTATION_CONCORDANCE.md unchanged
Status	professional return RECEIVED + CONFORMED (2026-08-06/07, CORRECTIONS_LOG #31-#32); letter-exact sites carry in-line <i>[[VERDICT]]</i> annotations; readings without a letter-exact verdict remain provisional (names above all, §1.7); physical-class residue awaits imaging (per ledger/log)

STATEMENT OF EDITORIAL METHOD

(a) The reader-facing edition of membrane 004, assembled from the Phase 4D-4 line index (`_WORKING_004_LINE_INDEX.md`), the Lloyd deposition baseline (`_WORKING_LLOYD_DEPOSITION_003R-007.md` §004L/§004R), the precision-pass ledger, and the companion — every flag preserved, no new readings. This membrane carries the corpus's densest arbitration load (the line index's consolidated TNA list runs seventeen numbered heads): true contests carry both readings in the text; ladder resolutions cite the losing layer; where the corpus's own layers diverge (the Penrithe/Kenwricke intermediary name), the divergence is presented at finest stratum, not resolved.

(b) Conventions per methodology §6. `<x>` marks expanded/normalized letterforms (`<p>` preferred = the "pre-" contraction bar; `<l>` long s); `[■-■]` an obliterated strike; `^` a scribal caret; `△/△△` lean/contested. Declared aid-layer exception: the complainant's surname normalized to **Lloyd** in the Modernized layer.

(c) Labels: 004.L26 = left page line 26; 004.R28 = right page line 28; 004.R0 = the right page's head-tear fragment. Tiles: **L·b6** = crops/canon/crop_canon_m004_La_b6.jpg || ...Lb_b6.jpg; **R·b5** = ...Ra_b5 || ...Rb_b5 (+_eB).

(d) Authorization: quoting authorized with disclosure (2026-07-03); diplomatic layer only; △△ items described, never quoted as readings; names with a letter-exact verdict from the commissioned professional transcription (returned in full 2026-08-06; CORRECTIONS_LOG #31-#32) are conformed; the rest remain provisional (§1.7).

LEFT PAGE — ANSWER 3: THE BILL'S OBJECT AND THE STANDING ANSWER — L1-L19 • TILES L•B1-B4

- 004.L1] To the 3 . Interr[ogatorie] Δ «or» Entred Δ th[i]s ex[aminan]t saieth Δ , that g[?]e[?] Δ L-b1 · the Interr/Entred formula crux (×3 on this membrane: L1, L20, R31)

004.L2] <p> $\bar{p}$)ferred in this ho: Courte complaine [of?] Δ L-b2 · the "stroke" over the initial resolved as the standard "pre-" contraction bar (<p>ferred = preferred), killing two cruxes at once (L2/L10)

004.L3] againste m[r] <R/N>ithgale Δ A[n]rle Δ arker & oth[er]s? Δ L-b1-b2 · Δ Δ TOP names knot: the form between "m[r]" and "Barker" unresolved (R-vs-N capital, -ithgale body); the baseline's "Richard" interline is NOT corroborated (no caret found); the small struck word before Barker unread (Open Items 7)

004.L4] [Justice[s]? Δ o[f] . A[ssi]ze Δ o[f] the <C>ountie o[f] <A>[...] Δ L-b2 · the judge-defendant predicate at letterform level; only the county's initial <A> is on the leaf — "Anglesey" is supplied, not read

004.L5] [& oth[er]s?] Δ fo[r] [&?] touchinge [the?] wronge[s?] Δ [?] L-b2

004.L6] ministringe Δ a[s?] th[i]s ex[aminan]t conceived it [donne?] Δ L-b2 · "as this examinant conceived it" — the bill's charge self-qualified; the object of "ministringe" unresolved

004.L7] unto the [s?]d Δ [?] Δ Willm ap Rees ap Willm & oth[er]s] L-b2 · the executed kinsman's three-element name, UNSTRUCK here (the 003.R37-38 strike-and-rewrite arbitrated: 004's head re-states the name cleanly)

004.L8] & for other oth[er] m[is]demeanures Δ and Su[ch] Δ L-b2-b3 · strike #1: struck dittography ✓eB

004.L9] that the reason why he did not by the [bill?] Δ L-b3 · "[bill]" SUPPLIED by syntax, not read (gutter edge)

004.L10] <p>ferred in this ho: Courte complaine [of?] Δ L-b3

004.L11] th[e/at] wronge [d]one to h[i]s ext was [?] Δ L-b3

004.L12] that he had ^{before} brought h[i]s <A>cc[i]on in the L-b3 · interlineation #1, caret confirmed ✓eB

004.L13] <C>ourte o[f] <C>omon [Pl]eades at westm[inste]r , o[f] Δ L-b3 · ✓eB — the prior action in the Court of Common Pleas at Westminster

004.L14] conspiracie touchinge those matters , And L-b3-b4 · ✓✓eB clear — the prior action was IN CONSPIRACY: the exact procedural predicate of the printed report

004.L15] touchinge Δ the wronge[s?] Δ done to h[i]s ext[s] bro[ther] Δ L-b4 · the native's supplied "[& also?]" dropped — unattested

004.L16] ~~because he was deade~~ h[i]s <e>xt though[t] it [not?] Δ L-b4 · strike #2 ✓eB: the strike covers the WHOLE false-start clause including "deade"; "[not]" supplied by sense (fold zone), not read

004.L17] good to bringe it in question aswell because L-b4 · ✓✓eB

004.L18] he was <d>eade as also because th[i]s ext[s] L-b4 · ✓eB — the UNSTRUCK "he was deade" in the recast double-reason sentence

004.L19] brother did not ^{in his lif[e]tyme} wish[e] th[i]s ext so to doe L-b4-b5 · interlineation #2, caret confirmed ✓eB (one word vs two Δ): answer 3 CLOSES

Modernized (editorial aid — never quotable): To the 3rd: he preferred a bill into the Star Chamber against Mr [name-knot contested] Barker and others, Justice[s] of Assize of the County of A[n]glesey, for and touching the wrong and injustice, as this examinant conceived it, done unto the said William ap Rees ap William and others, and for other misdemeanours. And the reason why he did not by the [bill] preferred in this Honourable Court complain of the wrong done to himself was that he had before brought his action in the Court of Common Pleas at Westminster, of conspiracy, touching those matters. And touching the wrongs done to his brother — the scribe first wrote and struck "because he was dead," then re-cast — this examinant thought it [not] good to bring it in question, as well because he was dead, as also because his brother did not in his lifetime wish this examinant so to do.

LEFT PAGE — ANSWER 4: BEAUMARIS AND THE INNOCENCE FORMULA — L20-L31 • TILES L-B4-B6

- 004.L20] To the 4 . Interr[ogatorie]△«or»Entred△ th[i]s ex[aminan]t saieth , that at the [tyme?]△
[that this?]△ L-b4-b5 · tail supplied (fold zone)
- 004.L21] ext was imprisoned together in one <p>[ri]son w[i]th L-b5 · ✓✓eB
- 004.L22] the saide Willm ap Rees ap Willm ¶ before the L-b5 · the ¶ marks resolved as scribal VIRGULES
(punctuation), not deletion marks
- 004.L23] [ap?]△ <s>d Rees willm was executed ¶ by the L-b5 · strike-candidate #3 (an "ap" anticipation false-start) plausible, not affirmed
- 004.L24] space of a q[uar]ter of a yere or thereabout[es] L-b5 · ✓✓eB — they shared the prison a quarter of
a year
- 004.L25] And after the s[ai]d[e] willm ap ^{Rees} ap willm^△ was condemned L-b5-b6 · interlineation #3
CONFIRMED (caret + raised cramped script) — the manuscript itself assembling the three-element name;
exact span △
- 004.L26] for the s[ai]d[e] faulte△ he did in the [pri]son at L-b6 · faulte-vs-facte RESOLVED "faulte" by
parallel-form with L30 ✓eB — where the baseline wrote "facte" (and 003R interlined ^{facte}); leaves differ;
baseline correction proposed (Open Items 9)
- 004.L27] ewmaris [c]all [for?] th[i]s [ext?] a [?] of/afore△ the <Sh>er[?]ing△ L-b6 · Beaumaris ✓eB
clear; the time-marker knot ("Shreving" = shriving-time vs "Shering" = shearing-season) is a reading the
baseline missed ("called aside, desiring" not supported)
- 004.L28] th[i]s ext to [sp/he?]a[?]e△ h[i]s co[n]ffession Λ^{p[ni]v}atlye what he [w?]△ a[?] w[i]th [?]
hym△ L-b6 · interlineation #4 confirmed (caret after "confession"); speake-vs-heare unresolved (descender
favors "speake", sense favors "heare")
- 004.L29] he ~~told~~ told th[i]s ext that he was as innocent L-b6 · strike #4: struck dittography ✓eB
- 004.L30] of the faulte whereof he was cond[e]mned L-b6 · ✓✓eB
- 004.L31] as the [ch]ilde new borne , & then the saide L-b6-b7 · ✓✓eB — THE INNOCENCE FORMULA
verbatim: "as innocent of the faulte whereof he was condemned as the childe new borne"

Modernized (editorial aid — never quotable): To the 4th: this examinant was imprisoned together in one prison with the said William ap Rees ap William, before the said Rees [ap] William was executed, by the space of a quarter of a year or thereabouts. And after the said William ap Rees ap William was condemned for the

said fault, he did, in the prison at Beaumaris, call [for] this examinant [at a time the reading of which is contested], this examinant to [hear] his confession privately... He told this examinant that he was as innocent of the fault whereof he was condemned as the child new born.

LEFT PAGE — THE DYING CHARGE AND THE VOW — L32-L39 • TILES L·B7-B8

- 004.L32] <W>m ap Rees del[iuer]ed to h[i]s ext [writen/c[er]ten]△ writinge[s?]△ L·b7 · △△ TOP
(wording only): the "w[i]th his" alternative ELIMINATED at eB — the second word is firmly "writinge[s?]" (6+ letterforms, -ng- tail): A PHYSICAL WRITING was handed over in the prison. Exact wording ("writen" vs "c[er]ten" = certain; singular vs plural) → TNA (Open Items 6)
- 004.L33] sayinge△ the[re] is a <d>irec[c]on for you againft L·b7 · ✓✓eB "a direction for you against"; opener
"the[re]" leans over the baseline's "here" (the article's quotation deliberately starts AT "direction" to avoid this crux)
- 004.L34] m[r] arker & [the?]△ others o[f] their <d>ealinges , L·b7 · ✓✓eB
- 004.L35] w[hi]ch I prairie you make knowne to the L·b7 · ✓✓eB VERBATIM
- 004.L36] state after I am <d>ead as you are my L·b7-b8 · ✓✓eB VERBATIM
- 004.L37] kinsman ; Att w[hi]ch tyme th[i]s ext vowed L·b7-b8 · ✓eB
- 004.L38] to the [ʔ△] <s>d△ willm ap Rees that h[i]s ext L·b8 · bar-vs-strike ambiguity at the head (extended contraction bar vs short false-start)
- 004.L39] woulde <d>oe the fame And thereupon by the L·b8 · ✓eB — THE VOW; the sentence RUNS OVER
mid-clause to the right page; below: blank paper, torn foot, NO signature

Modernized (editorial aid — never quotable): And then the said William ap Rees delivered to this examinant a written [writing], saying: "[there] is a direction for you against Mr Barker and others, of their dealings, which I pray you make known to the state after I am dead, as you are my kinsman." At which time this examinant vowed to the said William ap Rees that he would do the same. And thereupon, by the... (the sentence completes on the right page).

RIGHT PAGE — THE COMPOSITION CLAIM AND THE BULKELEY DENIAL — R0-R10 • TILES R·B1-B2

- 004.R0] ...by fuche <c>olle<c>ons△ as R·b1 · the head-tear fragment (left half LOST to the tear); with R3 it
resolves as a cognate pair: "by suche collecons as ... had collected too himselfe" — the continuation of L39's
broken sentence, roughly half a line lost
- 004.R1] [ʔ?] instruc[c]ons△ o[f] thofe w[ʔ]△ w[hi]ch[e]△ [ʔ] he [ʔ] o[th]ers△ R·b1 · the word after
"thofe" is cut — "w[ritinges?]" is a live candidate ONLY (it would tie the bill's drafting to L32's physical writing);
baseline-supplied, not read; TOP TNA
- 004.R2] againste the ff[ʔ]△ [ʔ]ff[ʔ]△ arker & o[th]ers , [that?] h[i]s ext R·b1 · strike R#1 confirmed;
the struck form is an ff-initial cluster — NOT the baseline's "Si#" (contest, Open Items 10)
- 004.R3] th[i]s ext had <c>ollected△ too himselfe , R·b1-b2 · verb ✓eB ("re]ferred to" excluded)
- 004.R4] [c]aused the saide Bill to be <d>rawne R·b1-b2 · ✓✓eB
- 004.R5] <p>utt [i]nto th[i]s ho:△ Courte , w[i]thout the R·b2 · "putt" resolved ✓eB; "ho:" held by parallel-
form (letterform variant "Ep:"-like on record)

- 004.R6] [pro]curement advise or: intreaty o[f] [S[i]r Rich[ard]? «or» <T>riftram?]   R-b2 · ✓✓eB
on the denial formula;   THE NAME-SLOT CRUX (TOP): letterforms favor "<T>riftram", but FOUR anaphoric
"the [d Rich[ard]]" tokens downstream (R11/R15/R20/R26) require Richard named here — both weights stated;
controls the answer-4 list membership (Open Items 1)
- 004.R7] ulkley K[nigh]t, <D>a<ni/ui>ell  ulkley, or willm  R-b2 · the "-ell" termination killed the
native's "Dauidd"; "Daniell/Dauie" minims → TNA; NO slot between Daniell and William (the baseline's five-
member styling contested — the row reads directly "Daniell Bulkley, or willm")
- 004.R8] ulkley, <L>ancelott  ulkley o[r] R-b2
- 004.R9] ulkley or either o[f] them or o[f] <a>nie oth[er] R-b2 · ✓eB
- 004.R10] [per]fon or <p>[er]fons  theoreo[f] , But before the exhibitinge o[f] R-b2-b3 · ✓✓eB; "person
or persons" (the baseline's "any other Bulkley" not attested); the denial closes and the qualifying admission
opens

Modernized (editorial aid — never quotable): ...[And thereupon by the] instructions of those w[ritings?] and
by such collections as this examinant had collected to himself, [he] caused the said bill to be drawn and put
into this Honourable Court, without the procurement, advice or entreaty of [Sir Richard / Tristram — the
name-slot is contested] Bulkeley knight, Daniell Bulkeley, or William Bulkeley, Lancelot Bulkeley, or either of
them, or of any other person or persons thereof. But before the exhibiting of the said bill...

RIGHT PAGE — TOLD-BEFOREHAND AND THE WITNESS THEORY — R11-R19 • TILES R-B3-B4

- 004.R11] the <f>d bill, th[i]s ext told the <f>d <R>ich[ard]  R-b3 · the apparent bar = the "Rich:" suspension
bar, not a strike (strike-candidate R#2 demoted to ink blot)
- 004.R12] ulkley that h[i]s <e>xt ~~the <f>d~~ meant to exhibite R-b3 · strike R#3 confirmed ✓eB
- 004.R13] a bill againfte [m[r]?]  arker & other[s] R-b3 · ✓eB
- 004.R14] that th[i]s <e>xt had caufed the same to be <d>rawne R-b3 · resolved as a TILTED FULL LINE, not an
interlineation (the baseline's interline reading corrected; census unchanged)
- 004.R15] [i]nto th[i]s ho: Courte  [?] the <f>d <R>ich ulkley to testifie R-b3-b4 · strike R#4 confirmed
(dittography-strike suspected); "to testifie" ✓✓eB — THE WITNESS THEORY lands: the stated purpose of the
Bulkeley contact was testimony
- 004.R16] to <u>fe h[i]m [?]  [?] matters  R-b4
- 004.R17] h[i]s <k>nowledg[e] [?] touchinge  [?] R-b4 · ✓eB — the witness-competence idiom
- 004.R18] [?] [a]cquainted  [w[i]th?] the [?] th[e]n at [?]  R-b4 · the acquainted-zone lead-in, tangled with
the R19 cancellation; the abandoned draft used the very verb of Art. 4's probe ("did you ... acquaintance")
- 004.R19] <W>[i]thdrawe  th[?] h[i]s <f>uite  [?]d th[i]s ext [ac]quainted  [un]to  the <f>d [?] R-b3
-b4 ·   strike R#3: a FULL-LINE cancellation under a flourished rule; partial under-rule recovery (all heavy-
): a struck clause about WITHDRAWING HIS SUIT — TOP TNA, professional recovery needed (Open Items 4)

Modernized (editorial aid — never quotable): But before the exhibiting of the said bill, this examinant told
the said [Sir] Richard Bulkeley that he meant to exhibit a bill against Mr Barker and others into this
Honourable Court, and that this examinant had caused the same to be drawn — and meant to use the said
Richard Bulkeley to testify his knowledge touching the said matters. (Here the scribe wrote and then cancelled

an entire line — its recoverable shape concerns withdrawing his suit and acquainting the said [someone] — the strike is imperfect and the clause is a professional-recovery target.)

RIGHT PAGE — THE BILL-INSPECTION DEMAND AND THE QUOTE — R20–R30 • TILES R·B4–B5

- 004.R20] <R>ichard ulkley ; att w[hi]ch tyme the <f>[d?] △ R·b4 · NOT dittography — two distinct clauses
(this closes the partially-struck sentence)
- 004.R21] <R>ichard willed th[i]s ext to bringe h[i]m ~~in~~ △ th[i]s/h[i]s △ R·b4 · Richard's demand opens; "in"
strike-candidate plausible, not affirmed
- 004.R22] [ext?] the <f>d ill to fee , <f>ayinge △ that he would R·b4–b5 · Richard demanded TO SEE THE
BILL; "fayinge" favored over "promisinge"
- 004.R23] firft fee it , yf th[i]s ext meant to <u>fe h[i]m R·b5 · "meant" upgraded ✓eB
- 004.R24] as a wittnes , [th?]e <f>d a[?] △ [?] △ R·b5 · "as a wittnes ," ✓✓eB crystal — the witness-condition
phrase; tail knotted
- 004.R25] [& fo?] afterwarde h[i]s ext brought the <f>d ill unto the R·b5 · the delivery verb "brought"
recovered ✓eB — Lloyd DID bring the bill
- 004.R26] the <f>d [?] <R>ich[ar]d ulkley who havinge redd △ R·b5 · "havinge redd" resolved — Richard
READ the bill before speaking
- 004.R27] the fame <u>fed these wordes R·b5 · the baseline adds "[or the] ver[ie] like in effecte" — not sighted by
4D (possibly in the unread seam); contested presence (Open Items 10)
- 004.R28] to h[i]s ext viz[t] . <g>eorge △ «or» [heere?] △ is a longe ill , yf R·b5 · △ △ THE QUOTE-
OPENING CRUX (TOP, names): "george" letterform-favored on TWO independent sightings (g-descenders, no
h-ascender) — but the deponent's forename is REES, so a "george" vocative conflicts with the deponent's
identity (the Art-1 hearer "George LI[?]" is a different man) — letterforms vs sense, both weights stated; "is a
longe Bill" itself is clear on both tiles and ABSENT from the baseline (the quote is longer than previously
recorded) (Open Items 2)
- 004.R29] you <d>oft bringe me as a <w>ittnes , I R·b5 · ✓✓eB
- 004.R30] will fpeake the truthe . R·b5 · ✓✓eB with closing period — the quote and ANSWER 4 end. Full quote:
" <george> △ «or» [heere?] is a longe Bill , yf you doft bringe me as a wittnes , I will fpeake the truthe . "

Modernized (editorial aid — never quotable): At which time the said Richard willed this examinant to bring him the said bill to see, saying that he would first see it, if this examinant meant to use him as a witness. And so afterwards this examinant brought the said bill unto the said Richard Bulkeley, who, having read the same, used these words [or the very like in effect — the phrase is the baseline's, unsighted by the line-grain pass] to this examinant: "[George / here — contested] is a long bill; if you dost bring me as a witness, I will speak the truth."

RIGHT PAGE — ANSWER 5: THE PROCUREMENT DENIAL AND THE SELF-AUTHORSHIP CLOSE — R31–R46 • TILES R·B5–B8

- 004.R31] To the 5[th] . Interr[ogatorie] △ «or» Entred △ th[i]s ext faieth that neither the R·b5–b6 · the
formula crux's third occurrence

- 004.R32] <f>d <R>ichard ulkley , nor <D>aniel[l]△ ulkley R·b5-b6 · Daniel-form attested three times (b2/b5/b6 concordant; n-vs-u minimis △)
- 004.R33] <L>auncelott ulkley , <w>m R·b5-b6 · ✓✓eB (William in superscript-abbreviated form; the baseline's "[nor?]" connector not seen)
- 004.R34] [B]ulkley , <T>riftram△ [?] {?}△ <d>id <w>[r]ite△«or»we[r]e△ R·b6 · △△ "⟨T⟩riftram" letterform-favored (large flourished initial + clear -iftram body) — the FIFTH list member in answer 5's re-run; TOP names item, cross-linked to the R6 slot; strike R#7 bar-cluster present, content illegible; write-vs-were unresolved (Open Items 3)
- 004.R35] ulkley or either o[f] them [will?]ed△ to exhibite the <f>d bill R·b6
- 004.R36] or fpeake to th[i]s [ext?]△ , [?]s to exhibite△ them△ [?]iba△ [l?]or△ [s?]end△ R·b6 · tail badly knotted (write/send/speak triplet zone)
- 004.R37] neither <d>id they or [anie o[f] them?] [?] or infruc[c]on△ for the framinge R·b6 · "framinge" ✓eB clear; the -cions/-ments suffix class △ by rule
- 004.R38] anie <d>irecon△ or in[?]△ Neither d[i]d [?]arri[?]△ R·b6-b7 · the direction/instruction pair; a name-like form unresolved
- 004.R39] o[f] the <f>d ill , neither d[i]d(?)△ willm <P>enrithe△«or»Ken[w]rick[e]△ nor R·b6-b7 · △△ THE INTERMEDIARY NAME — a live INTER-LAYER contest presented at finest stratum: the precision-zoom layer (ledger A2, z8art5 ⇌ z4penr, article ⇌ answer converged) reads "W[illia]m Ken[w]rick[e]"; the 4D line-grain layer reads "willm <P>enrithe" (P-initial favored over F, three sightings); the companion carries "[K/P]enri[ck/th][e]". Not resolved here — TNA (Open Items 5)
- 004.R40] [?] ffloude△ e[fq?]△ nor anie other <per>fon or <per>fons otherwife then R·b7 · △△ TOP (names): a THIRD denied procurer, body eB-affirmed ("ffl"+"oude"; fowler/ffouke disfavored) — the LI→FI anglicization LIVE on the membrane; cross-link candidate: "Jevan ffloude" of 001/002; a forename may hide in the unread seam (Open Items 3)
- 004.R41] [as is?]△ before declared [?] , [?] <g>ave△ R·b7 · the bar over "fore declared" demoted to an idiom-stroke (favored) — the stock formula "otherwise then [as is] before declared" restored as probable
- 004.R42] th[i]s ext [?]△ <g>ave△ / <h>ath△ [?] for the <d>rawinge o[f] R·b7 · "for the drawinge of" ✓✓eB
- 004.R43] <d>irecon to th[i]s ex[t] But th[i]s/he△ ext [?]△ himfelfe R·b7-b8 · THE SELF-AUTHORSHIP ASSERTION opens
- 004.R44] to the <f>[?]△ [?] <C>ompoed△«or»caufed△ [the l?]ame△ R·b7-b8 · △△ strike R#9 confirmed + the self-authorship verb: "⟨C⟩ompoed" favored (mp-cluster minim mass "caufed" cannot fill) — if "composed," Lloyd asserts authorship in the strongest form; TOP TNA (Open Items 6)
- 004.R45] not onely Λ△ give <d>irecon [?] to [?]△ [?] R·b8 · caret Λ confirmed after "onely" — interlineation-candidate R#i1, content unresolved; "not onely" without a visible "but alfo" is a sense-knot on record
- 004.R46] for the <d>rawinge [or?] [fra?]minge△ theoreo[f] . R·b8 · the "⟨E⟩dw[?]" fragment guess WITHDRAWN (a pen-lift split "⟨d⟩raw-inge"); final period + flourish ✓; ANSWER 5 and the page END — blank paper below, torn foot, NO signature (the deposition continues on 005)

Modernized (editorial aid — never quotable): To the 5th: neither the said Richard Bulkeley, nor Daniell Bulkeley, Lancelot Bulkeley, William Bulkeley, [nor] Tristram Bulkeley, or either of them, did [write / were — contested] ... or speak to this examinant to exhibit the said bill; neither did they or any of them [give] any instruction for the framing, [nor] any direction or instruction of the said bill; neither did William [Penrithe / Kenwricke — an unresolved inter-layer contest], nor [?] Floud esquire[?], nor any other person or persons,

otherwise than as is before declared... But this examinant himself [composed — the favored reading] the same, [and did] not only give direction... for the drawing [or framing] thereof.

REVISION STRATUM AND PHYSICAL RECORD

Census: LEFT L1–L39 FIRM (answer 3 = L1–L19; answer 4 opens L20, runs over mid-sentence); RIGHT R0 (head-tear fragment) + R1–R46 FIRM (answer-4 tail = R0–R30, closing at the quote's period; answer 5 = R31–R46). **Membrane total 86 lines.** Interlineations: ^{before} (L12) · ^{in his lif[e]tyme} (L19) · ^{Rees ap willm} (L25) · ^{p[ri]vatlye} what he [w?] (L28) · caret-candidate R#i1 (R45). Strikes, left: #0 A[n/i]r (L3) · #1 ~~the~~ dittography (L8) · #2 ~~because he was deade~~ (L16) · #3 ap? (L23) · #4 ~~told~~ dittography (L29). Strikes, right: R#1 (R2 ff-cluster) · R#3 (R12 the fd) · R#4 (R15 Courte) · R#5 (R19 FULL-LINE — the withdrawe-his-suite clause) · R#7 (R34) · R#9 (R44); demoted: R#2 (ink blot), R#6 plausible-only, R#8 (idiom-stroke favored). The <p> brevigraph and the I-virgules are apparatus findings of this leaf.

Physical: one physical tear runs across the sheet — 004L's ragged head is the counterpart of 003R's torn foot, and both pages' feet are torn (the 004R foot tear cuts into Rb's right edge); the right page's head lost ~2 lines to the tear (R0 survives in the right half only); strong left→right line tilt both pages; NO signature (mid-deposition).

OPEN ITEMS ON THIS MEMBRANE

- 1. OPEN** — the R6 name-slot: "S[i]r Rich[ard]" vs "<T>riftram" (TOP): controls whether the answer-4 list opens with Richard (as four anaphoric "the fd Rich[ard]" tokens require) or Tristram (as the letterforms favor); the answer-4 list reads FOUR members at row level either way. Ledger D6 reconciled. TNA.
- 2. OPEN** — the quote opening "george" vs "heere" (TOP, names): letterforms favor "george" (×2 sightings); the deponent's forename (Rees) re-weights sense toward "heere"; if "george," Bulkeley addresses the deponent by a forename from the adversary's own mouth. TNA.
- 3. OPEN** — two more TOP name items: "<T>riftram" at R34 (fifth answer-5 member); "[?] ffloude e[fq?]" at R40 (third denied procurer; ⇔ Jevan ffloude of 001/002; a forename may sit in the unread seam). TNA, names above all.
- 4. OPEN** — the R19 under-rule text (TOP): the struck withdrawe-his-suite clause; professional recovery under the cancel-rule. TNA.
- 5. CONFLICT** — the intermediary name (R39): ledger A2's zoom-converged "W[illia]m Ken[w]rick[e]" vs 4D's "willm <P>enrithe" (P favored) — an unresolved inter-layer contest, both cited at finest stratum. TNA.
- 6. OPEN** — two TOP wording items: L32 "writen vs c[er]ten" + singular/plural (the physical-writing FACT is eB-affirmed either way); R44 "<C>ompofed" vs "caufed" (the self-authorship verb, composed favored). TNA.
- 7. OPEN** — the L3 head-knot: "<R/N>ithgale" + A[n/i]r + the baseline's uncorroborated "Richard" interline. TNA (names).
- 8. CONFLICT** — faulte vs facte (L26/L30 vs baseline + 003R's ^{facte}): 004 reads "faulte" both times by parallel-form; baseline correction proposed. TNA.

9. OPEN — formula/wording sweep-up: Interr-vs-Entred (×3); L27 <Sh>er[?]ing time-marker; L33 there-vs-here; R2 ff[?] vs the baseline's "Sir"; "person or persons" vs "any other Bulkley"; R27 "or the verie like in effecte" presence; R34/35 write-vs-were; Daniell/Dauieil minims; both Bulkeley-list orders; supplied tokens on record (L9 "[bill]", L16 "[not]", L4 "A[n]glesey", R1 "w[ritinges]"). TNA.

CROSS-REFERENCES

Line-grain authority: `_WORKING_004_LINE_INDEX.md` (band records, 23 eB-queue dispositions, 4-part delta log, consolidated 17-head TNA list). Baseline: `_WORKING_LLOYD_DEPOSITION_003R-007.md` §004L/§004R (fold-in complete). Ledger: `_PRECISION_PASS_LEDGER.md` (A2 intermediary, D6 list-gap, D7/D8 strike zones). Companion: `READING_COMPANION_STAC_8_203_38.md` (004 section + Phase-4 addendum). Method: `../TRANSCRIPTION_AND_ANALYSIS_METHODOLOGY.md` (v1.3, §18.5).

Canonical tiles cut 2026-07-09 with `../_crop_stac_v3.py` from the fixity-pinned master (sha256 a94519159b28...); manifest crops/canon/_manifest.jsonl; hashes in crops/_FIXITY_SHA256_CROPS.txt. Coverage proven full height, no gaps. The canon series is the citation layer; verdicts trace to the as-read series in the line index and ledger.