
CANONICAL TRANSCRIPT — MEMBRANE 006 (TNA STAC 8/203/38)

Canonical transcript (edition layer: diplomatic determination + modernized aid; the working files remain the audit stratum)

Corpus	01_Transcripts
Source	TRANSCRIPT_M006.md
Integrity	sha256 8d76fc5c618b · rendered 2026-08-26
Status	<i>Derived render for review. The markdown source file governs; the modernized layer is never quotable; all readings are provisional pending the commissioned professional transcription (names above all). Canonical apparatus: _PRECISION_PASS_LEDGER.md; method: TRANSCRIPTION_AND_ANALYSIS_METHODOLOGY.md.</i>

HOW TO READ THIS RENDER (CONVENTIONS LEGEND)

strikethrough	struck in the manuscript (source: <code>~~text~~</code>)
^{superscript}	scribal interlineation or raised letters (source: <code>^text^</code> , <code>m^r</code>)
serif (Cambria) span	diplomatic text quoted inline (source: <code>`text`</code>); the type shift marks the source-text voice; conventions inside are rendered too
boxed serif panel	diplomatic transcription block
gray italic <code>[[...]]</code>	pass-annotation — editorial apparatus, NOT manuscript text
CONFIRM UPGRADE LEAN CONFLICT	delta-log verdict class: green = confirmed/convergent · blue = upgraded/new · amber = lean/open/variant · red = retraction/conflict
[?] / [...] / [] · « » · · △ ✓ eB ✕ ★	sigla printed verbatim per methodology §6/§9

CONTENTS

STATEMENT OF EDITORIAL METHOD.....	3
LEFT PAGE — ANSWER 8, TAIL: THE RECOGNIZANCE AND THE COUNSEL-ADVISED SUITS — L1-L12 · tiles L·b1-b3.....	4
LEFT PAGE — ANSWER 9: THE WITNESS-PREPARATION ACCOUNT — L13-L36 · tiles L·b3-b7.....	4
LEFT PAGE — ANSWER 10 OPENS — L37-L40 · tiles L·b7-b8.....	6

RIGHT PAGE — ANSWER 10 CLOSES: THE FIRST-PERSON FORMULA — R1–R7 · tiles R·b1–b2.....	6
RIGHT PAGE — ANSWER 11: THE COURIER AND COUNSEL-FEE DENIALS — R8–R21 · tiles R·b2–b4.....	6
RIGHT PAGE — ANSWER 12: THE LORD CHANCELLOR PETITION — R22–R39 · tiles R·b5–b8.....	7
REVISION STRATUM AND PHYSICAL RECORD.....	8
OPEN ITEMS ON THIS MEMBRANE.....	9
CROSS-REFERENCES.....	9

Corpus	TNA STAC 8/203/38 (record copy RC8368179) — catalogued <i>Lloyde v. Lewys</i> , Anglesey, June 1607; the surviving Star Chamber file behind <i>Floyd v. Barker</i> , 77 Eng. Rep. 1305 (1607)
Membrane	006 of 010 — Rees ap Jevan Lloyd's deposition, continued (double opening): answer 8's tail (the recognizance-forfeiture action; counsel-advised suits), answer 9 (the "secret chamber conference" answered as witness preparation), answers 10–12 (passed-word, letter/token and Towneley-payment denials; the Lord Chancellor petition and the kinsmen-sureties passage)
Master image	8368179_STAC_8_203_38_006.jpg · 5109×4227 px · sha256 3c0577e937849ecc058338ad1044c5704455f1a454666c2ae2b3981662768910 (verify: shasum -a 256 -c _FIXITY_SHA256_SOURCES.txt)
Canonical tiles	crops/canon/crop_canon_m006_{La,Lb,Ra,Rb}_b1..b8, nat + eB (64 tiles) · manifest crops/canon/_manifest.jsonl · coverage proven full height, no gaps
As-read record	crops/stac_p4d/crop_p4d006{La,Lb,Ra,Rb}_b1..b8[_eB] + the 2026-07-02 re-sweep bands — see _WORKING_006_LINE_INDEX.md; the canon series is a deterministic re-derivation for citation, NOT the tiles-as-read (methodology §15.4)
Transcription level	strict diplomatic (methodology §6, §18.1); the Modernized layer is an editorial aid and is never quotable

Authority	the author's working transcription, first-hand method (methodology §2.1), quoted only with disclosure; professional verification transcription (2026-07-02 TNA-route ask closed by TNA 2026-07-15 — not a TNA service; independent professional commissioned via TNA's researchers list, engaged 2026-07-17) RETURNED IN FULL 2026-08-06 and conformed — CORRECTIONS_LOG #31-#32
Pass history	first sweep 2026-07-01 · line-by-line re-sweep 2026-07-02 · Phase 4D-6 line index + 50 eB-queue items 2026-07-08 · this transcript assembled 2026-07-09 (assembly only — no new readings)
Rights	transcription text publishable under the Open Government Licence v3.0 — attribution "Contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0" · cite: The National Archives, ref. STAC 8/203/38 (record copy RC8368179) · membrane images NOT reproduced in this edition (TNA Image Library licence = separate application, pending) · quotation discipline per QUOTATION_CONCORDANCE.md unchanged
Status	professional return RECEIVED + CONFORMED (2026-08-06/07, CORRECTIONS_LOG #31-#32); letter-exact sites carry in-line <i>[[VERDICT]]</i> annotations; readings without a letter-exact verdict remain provisional (names above all, §1.7); physical-class residue awaits imaging (per ledger/log)

STATEMENT OF EDITORIAL METHOD

(a) The reader-facing edition of membrane 006, assembled from the Phase 4D-6 line index, the Lloyd deposition baseline (§006L/§006R), and the ledger — every flag preserved, no new readings. This membrane carries the campaign's headline recovery — **a full line the earlier pass eye-skipped** (R30, homoeoteleuton "if"→"if") — plus two systemic findings (the scribe's barred-*f* habit; two plural-deltas) and three guarded wish-fulfilment-class tokens presented under the describe-don't-assert rule.

(b) Conventions per methodology §6; <*f* marks the scribe's in-line barred said-abbreviation. Declared aid-layer exception: the complainant's surname normalized to **Lloyd** in the Modernized layer.

(c) Labels 006.L20 / 006.R30. Tiles: **L•b4** = crops/canon/crop_canon_m006_La_b4.jpg || ...Lb_b4.jpg; **R•b6** = ...Ra_b6 || ...Rb_b6 (+_eB).

(d) Authorization: quoting with disclosure (2026-07-03); diplomatic only;   items described, never quoted as readings; names with a letter-exact verdict from the commissioned professional transcription (returned in full 2026-08-06; CORRECTIONS_LOG #31-#32) are conformed; the rest remain provisional (§1.7).

LEFT PAGE — ANSWER 8, TAIL: THE RECOGNIZANCE AND THE COUNSEL-ADVISED SUITS — L1-L12**• TILES L·B1-B3**

- 006.L1] «FLOURISHED TOKEN»^{at (W)efim} againft the ⟨f⟩d ⟨H⟩owell ⟨L⟩ewis vp[on] L·b1 · the head token is a large flourished form NO reading of which is asserted (a shape-consistent "⟨E⟩sche q[üer]" guess is recorded for the queue only — forum-name wish-fulfilment class); "Howell Lewis" is the file's cleanest sighting of the name ✓eB (Open Items 4)
- 006.L2] the ⟨ff⟩orfeiture of a ⟨R⟩ecognizaunce ⟨w⟩[hi]ch[△] L·b1 · SINGULAR ✓eB (the plural withdrawn — hairline ligature, not a formed s)
- 006.L3] ⟨th⟩is ex⟨t⟩ ⟨f⟩aieth that no ⟨p⟩[er]fon or ⟨p⟩[er]fons pa[id?] [△] L·b1
- 006.L4] anie ⟨p⟩^{pes}[?] [△] of the ⟨f⟩aied charges beides th[is] [△] L·b1-b2 · "ptes" plural-lean vs the baseline's singular "p[ar]te"
- 006.L5] [?] [△] ⟨th⟩is[?] ext him fel⟨f⟩ . And that he ⟨the⟩[?] ⟨fd⟩[?] «^{was moved to [2] [△] bringe}» L·b2 · interline L#1: "was moved to ... bringe" is an INSERTION (two crammed rows, caret in the margin) — the baseline reads it inline; the struck token inside the insertion is unread (Open Items 5)
- 006.L6] ⟨A⟩cōncs⟨?⟩ to ⟨—⟩^{△△} him L·b2 · the row coheres with the baseline's "[acci]ons"; the VERB is new content: g-descender firm ("euict" excluded); candidates {reuenge, cudgell} — NEITHER asserted (sense-loaded, guarded) (Open Items 5)
- 006.L7] ffor fuch ⟨W⟩ronges as he had ⟨f⟩[uftained] L·b2
- 006.L8] fuftained , «^{in coming to ⟨L⟩ondon ⟨th⟩er(e) [?] [△]}» L·b2 · interline L#2 = the baseline's "in coming to London" ✓, with a REAL extra tail-word beyond it ("ther⟨e⟩"-lean)
- 006.L9] [?] ^{△△} made ⟨k⟩ownne «^{⟨th⟩ofe/⟨th⟩efe [?] ⟨f⟩d grie⟨u⟩[ous?] [△]}» L·b2-b3 · strike L#1: a token-pair illegible under the bar (TNA); interline L#3 is a TRUE interline ("g-r-i-e" firm; grievous/grievances open)
- 006.L10] ⟨W⟩ronges vnto ⟨C⟩ouncell ⟨&⟩[?] that ⟨th⟩ey[?] [△] L·b3
- 006.L11] was by them advifed to ⟨p⟩^{pro}[fecute the L·b3 · ✓eB full
- 006.L12] ⟨f⟩d ⟨fu⟩ite⟨s⟩[?] [△] accordinge ⟨vn⟩to[?] the[?] ⟨L⟩awe . L·b3 · "fuites"-lean (the reco- alternative withdrawn); terminal point firm

Modernized (editorial aid — never quotable): ...[the action] at Westminster against the said Howell Lewis upon the forfeiture of a recognizance... this examinant saith that no person or persons paid any part[s] of the said charges besides this examinant himself. And that he was moved to bring the said actions — [the verb of the next clause is a guarded, unresolved reading] — for such wrongs as he had sustained in coming to London [there?]; [he] made known those said [grievous?] wrongs unto counsel, and was by them advised to prosecute the said suits according unto the law.

LEFT PAGE — ANSWER 9: THE WITNESS-PREPARATION ACCOUNT — L13-L36 • TILES L·B3-B7

- 006.L13] ⟨T⟩o the 9 . ⟨In⟩terr[og?] this ext faieth that ⟨h⟩[e?] L·b3 · ✓eB
- 006.L14] doth not remember ⟨wh⟩e⟨th⟩er ⟨h⟩e ⟨h⟩ad anie[△] ta[lke] L·b3 · "anie" a-bowl compression = scribal habit (also L18/L26)
- 006.L15] w⟨i⟩th the ⟨f⟩d ⟨S⟩[i]r ⟨R⟩ichard ⟨B⟩ulkley touchinge ⟨th⟩e L·b3 · ✓eB large + clean

- 006.L16] the fd ill in the <St>arrechamber L·b3-b4 · ✓eB
- 006.L17] the laft teŕme or not ; ut <if> this ex[t] L·b4 · "But if" firmed
- 006.L18] <h>ad then anie talke <—> or conference w<i>th L·b4
- 006.L19] the <f>d <S>[i]r <R>ichard , it was <—> «^{about <th>e}» <fh>ewinge L·b4 · interline L#i4 with caret ✓eB; the post-"it was" dash resolved as a locator-dash at the insert point (micro-strike withdrawn)
- 006.L20] <h>im the <C>opie<s>△ of the <f>d ill at <fu>ch L·b4 · "Copies" PLURAL on six sightings — a confirmed DELTA vs the baseline's singular (multiple copies of the bill circulating pre-hearing); TNA (Open Items 3)
- 006.L21] tyme as this ext told the <f>d <S>[i]r <R>ichard L·b4 · ✓eB
- 006.L22] that this ext would <v>fe <h>im as a <w>ittnes L·b4 · ✓eB end-to-end — the witness-preparation line
- 006.L23] conceŕninge <th>e <f>ome of the matters L·b5 · strike L#2 double-confirmed ✓✓eB (= the baseline exactly)
- 006.L24] of the <f>d bill . <A>nd further this ext <f>ai<e>th△ L·b5
- 006.L25] that he had not to his <kn>owledge<s>△ <E> L·b5 · "knowledges" PLURAL ✓eB — second plural-delta; the raised "not" resolved as line-rise, not an insert
- 006.L26] now remembraunce , anie talke at all L·b5 · "now" = the EME adjectival 'present' idiom, retained as written
- 006.L27] w<i>th the <f>d <W>m ulkley the laft teŕme L·b5 · ✓eB
- 006.L28] touchinge the <f>d ill ; <N>either did th<is> L·b5-b6 · N-initial resolved (M excluded)
- 006.L29] ext , <v>ppon the <Th>urfdays after <h>e was L·b6 · ✓eB
- 006.L30] [~~3 tall afcender tokens~~△△] atta<ch>ed△△«or»att[ended]△ by the <W>arden of the <ff>leete L·b6 · strike L#3 above the verb + the verb itself: joint correction hypothesis "~~attend~~ → attached" (= ARRESTED by the Warden's man) — lean only, sense-loaded, NOT asserted; arrest-vs-attendance changes the Thursday scene legally; TNA (Open Items 6)
- 006.L31] <M>an <f>ecretlie conferre w<i>th the <f>d <S>[i]r L·b6 · "ffleete Man" = EME unmarked genitive ✓eB (the Warden-of-the-Fleet's man); "fecretlie" confirmed
- 006.L32] <R>ich[ard] ulkley in his <ch>amber about L·b6 · ✓eB — the Art-9 "secret chamber conference" charge, denied
- 006.L33] the <f>d ill as is <fu>ppofed ; <N>either L·b6 · ✓eB
- 006.L34] did this ext to <h>is remembraunce <f>epeake L·b7 · ✓eB
- 006.L35] w<i>th the <f>d <S>[i]r <R>ich[ard] ulkley at all L·b7 · ✓✓eB
- 006.L36] that day . L·b7 · terminal flourish — ANSWER 9 ENDS ("at all that day" — the flat close)

Modernized (editorial aid — never quotable): To the 9th: he does not remember whether he had any talk with the said Sir Richard Bulkeley touching the said bill in the Star Chamber the last term or not; but if this examinant had then any talk or conference with the said Sir Richard, it was about the showing him the COPIES of the said bill, at such time as this examinant told the said Sir Richard that this examinant would use him as a witness, concerning ~~the~~ some of the matters of the said bill. And further he saith that he had not, to his knowledges and now remembrance, any talk at all with the said William Bulkeley the last term touching

the said bill; neither did this examinant, upon the Thursday after he was [attached / attended — a guarded contested reading] by the Warden of the Fleet[’s] man, secretly confer with the said Sir Richard Bulkeley in his chamber about the said bill, as is supposed; neither did this examinant, to his remembrance, speak with the said Sir Richard Bulkeley at all that day.

LEFT PAGE — ANSWER 10 OPENS — L37–L40 • TILES L·B7–B8

- 006.L37] <T>o the 10 <In>teŕ this ext rŕaieth that he doth L·b7 · numeral dead clean ✓✓eB
- 006.L38] not <k>nowe <wh>o paffed his <w>ord to the L·b7 · ✓✓eB — the suretyship idiom
- 006.L39] <W>arden of the <ff>leete <M>an for his <a>pp[ear]aunce △ L·b7–b8
- 006.L40] , in the <St>arrechamber , nor L·b8 · the page’s final word "nor" clean ✓✓eB — mid-clause hand-off to the right page; below: BLANK parchment then the torn edge (foot TEXT-COMPLETE, eB-re-verified — the tear cost margin, not text)

Modernized (editorial aid — never quotable): To the 10th: he does not know who passed his word to the Warden of the Fleet[’s] man for his appearance in the Star Chamber, nor... (completing on the right page).

RIGHT PAGE — ANSWER 10 CLOSES: THE FIRST-PERSON FORMULA — R1–R7 • TILES R·B1–B2

- 006.R1] <wh>ether anie [er]fon or not paffed his worde△ for R·b1 · continues L40 seamlessly — the head tear cost NO text
- 006.R2] this ext in y^t behalfe ; ffor he faieth he R·b1 · the "that" is the y^t-brevigraph ✓eB
- 006.R3] did not request anie p[er]fon fo to R·b1 · ✓eB
- 006.R4] doe . And more he fai(eth) that R·b1–b2 · "And MORE he" ✓eB ("further" withdrawn)
- 006.R5] <th>at[?] he cannot fay to his <—>△ R·b1–b2 · DELTA: the answer-10 close is a FIRST-PERSON "cannot fay" construction — the baseline’s supplied third-person bridge ("[neither knoweth he that the said]") was wrong-class; the gap after "to his" + the apparent "that /that" repetition → TNA (Open Items 7)
- 006.R6] of <C>ouncell <w>[i]th <th>e <M>’ <T>owneley <w>as[?]△ R·b2 · "of Councell" sighted DIRECTLY ✓eB (the baseline carried it as a queried supply); "M’ Towneley" titled — the M’ absent in the baseline; the seam word-order (R5→R7) unresolved
- 006.R7] <S>’ <Rich>ard ulkeley in fome o[f] his Law caufes R·b2 · "Law caufes" ✓✓ — Towneley was Bulkeley’s lawyer in some of his law causes (the ordinary professional relationship Art-10’s kinship probe tried to darken)

Modernized (editorial aid — never quotable): ...nor whether any person or not passed his word for this examinant in that behalf; for he saith he did not request any person so to do. And more he saith that he cannot say, to his [knowledge/remembrance — gap unread], [whether] Mr Towneley was of counsel with the said Sir Richard Bulkeley in some of his law causes.

RIGHT PAGE — ANSWER 11: THE COURIER AND COUNSEL-FEE DENIALS — R8–R21 • TILES R·B2–B4

- 006.R8] <T>o <th>e 11 <I>nterr this ext fai<e>th that he R·b2 · ✓✓eB

- 006.R9] did not carrie<d>[?]△ anie l<ett>re or token <f>ince R·b2 · "l<ett>re or token" ✓✓(the Art-11 mirror)
- 006.R10] <th>e <f>d <vj>th day o[f] october to <a>nie p[er]fon R·b2 · the vjth-October anchor ✓eB
- 006.R11] or p[er]fons <w>[i]th[in] the County of <A>nglefey R·b2-b3 · DELTA ✓eB: the full doublet "person or persons" (the baseline has only "person"); "Anglefey" double-sighted
- 006.R12] ffrom the fd <S>^r <Rich>ard ulkley for R·b3 · ✓eB
- 006.R13] anie <M>onie to be paied or <d>elyu[er]ed <to>[?] this «or fent[?]»△△ [?]△ R·b3 · the R13-head NEXUS: an interlined doublet-expansion «or fent»-class + a short struck token — NOT in the baseline; geometry (which row it serves) and struck contents → TNA (Open Items 8)
- 006.R14] <N>either doth this ext <kn>owe R·b3 · ✓eB
- 006.R15] that the fd <S>^r <Ri>[chard] ulkley & <W>^m R·b3 · ✓✓eB
- 006.R16] ulkley or either of them did <g>iue[?]△ or R·b3-b4 · give-class firm ("graunt" withdrawn)
- 006.R17] promife to pay to the <fd>△△ <M>^r <T>owneley anie R·b4 · ✓✓ "promife to pay to"; the fd-token's stroke is bar-lean (inline barred *f̄d*) vs the baseline's interlined "*s[ai]d*" vs a strike — the fd-bar SYSTEMIC question (Open Items 9)
- 006.R18] fom or <S>oms o[f] monie <r>ewarde or R·b4 · ✓✓eB
- 006.R19] recompence for his <p>aines & care taken R·b4 · "recompence" fully traced ✓✓eB
- 006.R20] or to be taken in the <fd> fuite in the R·b4 · ✓✓ — tracking Art-11's future-services clause verbatim
- 006.R21] <St>arrechamber . R·b4 · ✓✓eB with period — ANSWER 11 CLOSES

Modernized (editorial aid — never quotable): To the 11th: he did not carry any letter or token, since the said 6th day of October, to any person or persons within the County of Anglesey, from the said Sir Richard Bulkeley, for any money to be paid or delivered [«or sent» — an interlined expansion] to this [examinant]. Neither doth this examinant know that the said Sir Richard Bulkeley and William Bulkeley, or either of them, did give or promise to pay to the said Mr Towneley any sum or sums of money, reward or recompence, for his pains and care taken, or to be taken, in the said suit in the Star Chamber.

RIGHT PAGE — ANSWER 12: THE LORD CHANCELLOR PETITION — R22-R39 · TILES R·B5-B8

- 006.R22] <T>o <th>e «^{(tw)elft(h)}» <I>nterr <th>[is] R·b5 · the ordinal IS interlined ✓ (= the baseline's "^{twelfth}"); the under-structure (caret vs numeral) minor
- 006.R23] doth not <r>emember whe<th>er vppon a R·b5 · ✓✓eB (the caret-like mark = the Interr-flourish descender, withdrawn)
- 006.R24] <p>et<ic>[i]on△ p[re]ferred by this ext to the right R·b5 · -cion crux standing △ by rule
- 006.R25] <h>ono: the <L>ord <Ch>auncelor A«^{of <E>ngland[?]}△» for the <c>ontynuaunce R·b5 · DELTA: interline + caret CONFIRMED to exist ✓eB; content "of England"-lean (the full chancellor style) — ABSENT in the baseline; TNA (Open Items 2)
- 006.R26] of the <fd> fuite vppon the <fd> B ill th<is>[?] R·b5 · both strokes = fd-bars ✓eB-lean
- 006.R27] <th>is ext <d>id offer <S>uerties to pay the R·b5-b6 · "Suerties" spelling resolved (u before e)
- 006.R28] <c>ofts[?]△ & <ch>arges if the caufe <w>ere decreed R·b6 · initial d-loop-like ("debts" surfaced as letterform candidate; sense favors "cofts" — lean only)

- 006.R29] againft this <d>eft△«or»ext△ or not . But if R·b6 · DELTA: the party token reads "<d>eft"-lean on FOUR sightings vs the baseline's "ex[aminan]t" — party-designation weight, never asserted without TNA (Open Items 2)
- 006.R30] <th>ere ~~be~~ was <anie>[?]△ mention <—>△ Λ«vppon the fd petic(io)n» R·b6 · ★ THE RECOVERED LINE: a full line the deposition-grouped pass eye-skipped (homoeoteleuton "But if"→"if it"); strike R#2 read UNDER the bar ("be → was" — a mood/tense self-correction); the mention-token, caret, and interline each independently sighted native + eB; full parse lean-only ("...But if there ~~be~~ was any mention [—] «upon the said petition»..."); TNA MANDATORY (Open Items 1)
- 006.R31] if it had pleafed the fd . <L>ord <Ch>auncelor R·b6 · ✓✓eB
- 006.R32] to <h>aue graunted <th>e <c>ontynuaunce of <th>e R·b6-b7 · ✓✓eB
- 006.R33] fuit <th>en this ext <p>[ur]pofed△ to <h>aue R·b7 · the stroke over "purpofed" downgraded to non-deleting (deleting it would leave the sentence verbless)
- 006.R34] <l>ooked owt <S>uerties Λ«^{in (L)ondon (h)auinge divers (k)infmen there at that tyme}» R·b7 · ✓✓eB — the kinsmen-sureties interline confirmed near-verbatim with geometry locked (caret after "Suerties", margin-outdent); NO "good" between "owt" and "Suerties" — the baseline's "[good?]" query DISSOLVES
- 006.R35] did not before <h>and <in>treate[?] [<wh>o <fh>ould[?] «or» w[i]th anie to]△ <v>nto[?] R·b7 · the entreat-cluster: two candidates recorded ("who fhould be..." vs the baseline's "w[i]th [any to] be..."), neither asserted
- 006.R36] be this <ex>t<s> <S>uerties neither did anie R·b7 · ✓✓eB
- 006.R37] <p>[er]fon promife to be this <ex>t<s> fuert<y> R·b7 · the pre-fuerty "token" = flamboyant "exts" (separate-token candidate demoted)
- 006.R38] nor to procure his <fuert[t]—?>△△ fuert<y> in <th>at R·b7-b8 · strike R#3 = the baseline's recorded strike; contents an overwritten tangle — false-start "fuert[t]—" is the leading candidate; TNA
- 006.R39] behalfe . R·b8 · ✓✓eB with period — ANSWER 12 and the page CLOSE; foot TEXT-COMPLETE both strips (no subscription on 006 — the deposition concludes on 007)

Modernized (editorial aid — never quotable): To the ^{twelfth}: he does not remember whether, upon a petition preferred by this examinant to the right honourable the Lord Chancellor [«of England» — the interlined style], for the continuance of the said suit upon the said bill, this examinant did offer sureties to pay the costs and charges if the cause were decreed against this [defendant/examinant — contested], or not — [and, per the recovered line:] but if there ~~be~~ was any mention [—] upon the said petition — if it had pleased the said Lord Chancellor to have granted the continuance of the suit, then this examinant purposed to have looked out sureties — in London, having divers kinsmen there at that time — but he did not beforehand entreat [who should / with any to] be his sureties; neither did any person promise to be his surety, nor to procure his surety, in that behalf.

REVISION STRATUM AND PHYSICAL RECORD

Census: LEFT L1-L40 = 40 lines FIRM (answer 8 mid → answer 9 = L13-L36 → answer 10 opens L37, hands off at L40 "nor") + interlines L#i1-L#i4 + strikes L#1-L#3. RIGHT R1-R39 = 39 lines FIRM (answer 10 closes R1-R7; answer 11 = R8-R21; answer 12 = R22-R39) + interlines R#i2 ^{twelfth} · R#i3 ^{of England[?]} · R#i4 ^{vppon the fd peticion} · R#i5 the kinsmen-sureties insertion · the R13-head «or fent» nexus + strikes R#2 (~~be~~) and

R#3 (pre-fuerty). **Membrane total 79 lines.** BOTH tears (006L foot, 006R head + foot) verified TEXT-FREE — the L40 "nor" → R1 hand-off is seamless scribal page-flow. **Systemic finding:** the scribe writes in-line barred $\bar{f}\bar{d}$ at ≥ 6 sites (R17, R20, R26 $\times 2$, R31, inside R#i4) — earlier "^{s[ai]d}" interline notations need re-classification as bars (extends to 007; TNA class-check).

OPEN ITEMS ON THIS MEMBRANE

1. **NEW** — the R30 recovered line: structure certain (strike + mention-token + caret + interline, each independently sighted); full parse lean-only. TNA MANDATORY.
2. **OPEN** — two DELTA-candidates vs the baseline: «^{of England}» content (R25; interline+caret exist ✓eB); the "<d>eft"-lean party token $\times 4$ (R29). TNA.
3. **CONFIRM** — two plural-deltas: "Copie<s>" (six sightings) and "knowledge<s>" vs the baseline's singulars; conform on TNA confirm.
4. **OPEN** — the L1 flourished head-token ($\triangle\triangle$, guarded): no reading asserted; the "Excheq[uer]" shape-guess recorded for the queue only (forum-name wish-fulfilment class). TNA.
5. **OPEN** — the L#i1/L6 zone ($\triangle\triangle$, guarded): the struck token inside "was moved to X bringe"; the L6 verb (g-descender firm; reuenge/cudgell neither asserted); strike L#1's token-pair. TNA.
6. **OPEN** — the L30 correction hypothesis ($\triangle\triangle$, guarded): "~~attend~~ → attached" (arrest sense) — both tokens to TNA; the legal weight of arrest-vs-attendance noted, not exercised.
7. **CONFLICT** — the answer-10 close: first-person "cannot fay to his <—>" vs the baseline's supplied third-person bridge; the gap, the "that || that" repetition, and the R5/R6 seam order. TNA.
8. **OPEN** — the R13-head «or fent» nexus: interlined doublet-expansion + struck token, geometry unresolved. TNA.
9. **OPEN** — the $\bar{f}\bar{d}$ -bar systemic check (this membrane's ≥ 6 sites; the R17 $\bar{f}\bar{d}$ -M' cluster is the type case). TNA.
10. **VARIANT** — sweep-up: $\bar{p}$ tes plural; L8 extra tail-word; L12 "fuites"; strike R#3 contents; entreat-cluster; cofts/debts initial; pet<ic>ion crux $\times 2$; carrie/carried; micro items per the line index. TNA.

CROSS-REFERENCES

Line-grain authority: `_WORKING_006_LINE_INDEX.md` (native sweeps, 16+34 eB-queue dispositions, 9-item delta log). Baseline: `_WORKING_LLOYD_DEPOSITION_003R-007.md` §006L/§006R (fold-in complete, incl. the recovered line now inline). Ledger: `_PRECISION_PASS_LEDGER.md` (D9 answer-12 strike; C2 attended/attached). Companion: `READING_COMPANION_STAC_8_203_38.md` (006 section + Phase-4 addendum). Method: `../TRANSCRIPTION_AND_ANALYSIS_METHODOLOGY.md` (v1.3, §18.5).

Canonical tiles cut 2026-07-09 with `../_crop_stac_v3.py` from the fixity-pinned master (sha256 3c0577e93784...); manifest `crops/canon/_manifest.jsonl`; hashes in `crops/_FIXITY_SHA256_CROPS.txt`. Coverage proven full height, no gaps. The canon series is the citation layer; verdicts trace to the as-read series in the line index and ledger.