

CANONICAL TRANSCRIPT — MEMBRANE 008 (TNA STAC 8/203/38)

Canonical transcript (edition layer: diplomatic determination + modernized aid; the working files remain the audit stratum)

|           |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
|-----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Corpus    | 01_Transcripts                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| Source    | TRANSCRIPT_M008.md                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| Integrity | sha256 a3ae69b2e07a · rendered 2026-08-26                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| Status    | Derived render for review. The markdown source file governs; the modernized layer is never quotable; all readings are provisional pending the commissioned professional transcription (names above all). Canonical apparatus: _PRECISION_PASS_LEDGER.md; method: TRANSCRIPTION_AND_ANALYSIS_METHODOLOGY.md. |

HOW TO READ THIS RENDER (CONVENTIONS LEGEND)

|                                          |                                                                                                                                     |
|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <del>strikethrough</del>                 | struck in the manuscript (source: ~~text~~)                                                                                         |
| <sup>superscript</sup>                   | scribal interlineation or raised letters (source: ^text^, m^r)                                                                      |
| serif (Cambria) span                     | diplomatic text quoted inline (source: `text`); the type shift marks the source-text voice; conventions inside are rendered too     |
| boxed serif panel                        | diplomatic transcription block                                                                                                      |
| gray italic [...]                        | pass-annotation — editorial apparatus, NOT manuscript text                                                                          |
| CONFIRM UPGRADE LEAN CONFLICT            | delta-log verdict class: green = confirmed/convergent · blue = upgraded/new · amber = lean/open/variant · red = retraction/conflict |
| [?] / [...] / [ ] · « » ·   · △ ✓ eB ✕ ★ | sigla printed verbatim per methodology §6/§9                                                                                        |

CONTENTS

STATEMENT OF EDITORIAL METHOD..... 3

THE CAPTION AND ORDER-DATE — cap · tiles b1..... 4

ARTICLES 1-3 — THE REPORT, THE BROTHER, THE BILL — art1-art3 · tiles b1-b3..... 4

ARTICLES 4-5 — THE PROCUREMENT AND FRAMING PROBES — art4-art5 · tiles b3-b5..... 5

|                                                                                            |   |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|
| ARTICLES 6–7 — THE MONEY AND HOUSEHOLD PROBES — art6-art7 · tiles b5–b7.....               | 6 |
| ARTICLES 8–10 — THE ESTATE, THE CHAMBER, THE WORD-PASSING — art8-art10 · tiles b7–b10..... | 6 |
| ARTICLES 11–12 — THE COURIER PROBE AND THE SURETIES — art11-art12 · tiles b10–b12.....     | 7 |
| STRUCTURE, REVISION STRATUM AND PHYSICAL RECORD.....                                       | 8 |
| OPEN ITEMS ON THIS MEMBRANE.....                                                           | 9 |
| CROSS-REFERENCES.....                                                                      | 9 |

|                            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Corpus</b>              | TNA STAC 8/203/38 (record copy RC8368179) — catalogued <i>Lloyde v. Lewys</i> , Anglesey, June 1607; the surviving Star Chamber file behind <i>Floyd v. Barker</i> , 77 Eng. Rep. 1305 (1607)                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
| <b>Membrane</b>            | 008 of 010 — THE INTERROGATORIES, Articles 1–12 of the Court's ONE sixteen-article instrument ("Articles to be mynystred agaynst Res ap Ev[a]n Loyd, nowe remayninge prysoner in the ffleete"), June 1607; the instrument continues on membrane 009 (Articles 13–16) and was administered SELECTIVELY (Lloyd answered 1–12 and 14; Towneley answered 3–6, 13, 15, 16 — interlocking, no overlap, no gap) |
| <b>Master image</b>        | 8368179_STAC_8_203_38_008.jpg · 2862×6720 px · sha256<br>d4d9c3e71ea834963436efa1794d72a9101426051d6a1b87575c563fa0268f92 (verify: shasum -a 256 -c _FIXITY_SHA256_SOURCES.txt)                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| <b>Canonical tiles</b>     | crops/canon/crop_canon_m008_{L,R}_b1..b12, nat + eB (48 tiles) · manifest crops/canon/_manifest.jsonl · coverage proven y 134–6720; the 134 px head strip above the y=0.02 cut is anchored to the Phase 4C full-extent ink screen (parchment-bbox basis, eyes on flags)                                                                                                                                  |
| <b>As-read record</b>      | crops/stac_p4a/crop_p4a008{L,R}_b1..b12[_eB] (+ the rescued set crops/stac_p4a_rescued/), the 2026-07-02 dedicated 15-band sweep (crops/crop_s8_b1..b15), and the name zooms (crop_v008*) — see _WORKING_008_LINE_INDEX.md and _WORKING_008_TRANSCRIPTION.md; the canon series is a deterministic re-derivation for citation, NOT the tiles-as-read (methodology §15.4)                                  |
| <b>Transcription level</b> | strict diplomatic (methodology §6, §18.1); the Modernized layer is an editorial aid and is never quotable                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |

|                     |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Authority</b>    | the author's working transcription, first-hand method (methodology §2.1), quoted only with disclosure; professional verification transcription (2026-07-02 TNA-route ask closed by TNA 2026-07-15 — not a TNA service; independent professional commissioned via TNA's researchers list, engaged 2026-07-17) RETURNED IN FULL 2026-08-06 and conformed — CORRECTIONS_LOG #31-#32                                              |
| <b>Pass history</b> | first sweep 2026-07-01 · dedicated 15-band sweep + precision zooms 2026-07-02 · Phase 4A half-column line index (86-line census, 19-item delta log) 2026-07-07 · fold-in 2026-07-08 · this transcript assembled 2026-07-09 (assembly only — no new readings)                                                                                                                                                                  |
| <b>Rights</b>       | transcription text publishable under the Open Government Licence v3.0 — attribution "Contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0" · cite: The National Archives, ref. STAC 8/203/38 (record copy RC8368179) · membrane images NOT reproduced in this edition (TNA Image Library licence = separate application, pending) · quotation discipline per QUOTATION_CONCORDANCE.md unchanged |
| <b>Status</b>       | professional return RECEIVED + CONFORMED (2026-08-06/07, CORRECTIONS_LOG #31-#32); letter-exact sites carry in-line <i>[[VERDICT]]</i> annotations; readings without a letter-exact verdict remain provisional (names above all, §1.7); physical-class residue awaits imaging (per ledger/log)                                                                                                                                |

---

## STATEMENT OF EDITORIAL METHOD

---

(a) The reader-facing edition of membrane 008, assembled from `_WORKING_008_TRANSCRIPTION.md` (the adjudicated article-by-article text, Phase-4A fold-in complete) and `_WORKING_008_LINE_INDEX.md` (the 86-line census and delta log), with the ledger — every flag preserved, no new readings. Because this leaf is a numbered legal instrument, the edition's addressable units are the instrument's own articles (008.art4), each tied to its canon band range; the line-grain (L1–L86 with band IDs) lives in the line index. The leaf is ONE ACTIVELY-REVISED DRAFT: five insertion/strike events in a single second-ink family (orange/faded) are mapped across the articles below — the Court's questionnaire being revised as drafted.

(b) Conventions per methodology §6. Quotations from `THE_TWO_LOCKS.md` n. vst2 rest on this membrane and 009 — the quoted-readings concordance (`QUOTATION_CONCORDANCE.md` §A) is the audit surface.

(c) Labels: 008.cap = the caption block; 008.art1–008.art12 = the articles as the scribe's own margin numerals bound them (margin "2", "4"–"11" read directly; "12" obscured by the TNA file-slip; the corrected article map is the 2026-07-02 structural resolution). Tiles: **b5** = crops/canon/crop\_canon\_m008\_L\_b5.jpg || ...\_R\_b5.jpg (+\_eB); article entries cite approximate band RANGES (the instrument's articles span bands).

(d) Authorization: quoting with disclosure (2026-07-03); diplomatic only; names with a letter-exact verdict from the commissioned professional transcription (returned in full 2026-08-06; CORRECTIONS\_LOG #31-#32) are conformed; the rest remain provisional (§1.7).

---

## THE CAPTION AND ORDER-DATE — CAP • TILES B1

---

008.cap] [J]unij 1607 · Articles to be mynystred agaynst Res ap Ev[a]n Loyd / nowe remayninge prysoner in the ffleete , a[tt?] agaynste [him? \_\_] [?] / accordinge to [an] order made the [\_\_] twent[ie]th[?] daie of June[?] b1 · the margin date; the caption styles the examinee "Res ap Ev[a]n Loyd" (the documentary pull for the autograph patronym contest, 007.sig); the ORDER-DATE clause (a ~20 June order) has an uncertain day-number — ledger B7

**Modernized (editorial aid — never quotable):** June 1607. Articles to be ministered against Res ap Evan Lloyd, now remaining prisoner in the Fleet, according to an order made the [twentieth?] day of June.

---

## ARTICLES 1-3 — THE REPORT, THE BROTHER, THE BILL — ART1-ART3 • TILES B1-B3

---

008.art1] Imprimis: did you reporte to any p[er]son or p[er]sons sithence the vj[th] of October last / that Richard Barker esquier, one of his ma[jes]t[ies] Justic[es] of his highnes great [Sessions] of the Countie[s] of Anglesey in Northwales, should be noe more Justice there. To whome did y[ou] reporte the same, and what moved you soe to say. b1-b2 · Art. 1 = the report ALONE (the boundary corrected by the precision pass: the margin "2" anchors at "And doe you beleve" — Lloyd's answers 1-3 align with Arts. 1-3 exactly)

008.art2] And doe you beleve in yo[ur] conscien[ce] that J[oh]n ap Ev[a]n Loyd yo[ur] brother was [at] the last Sessions of the said Countie [of] Anglesey wrongfullie indicted, condemned & executed for murtheringe of h[is] wife//VERDICT 2026-08-04 (professional final, Art. 2): the token is "his wife" — THE WIFE HAS NO NAME IN THE RECORD; superseded reading "A[nn/m]i[s]" (the minims were not a name; ledger A6 CLOSED) //or otherwise; And whether yo[u] were [ju]stlie or wrongfullie indicted for that fact, yea or noe: And what affinity, alliance or kindred//VERDICT 2026-08-04 (professional final): "affinity, alliance or kindred"; superseded "[offences,] [un]kindnes or quarels" — the kinship-probe flip, verdict ledger \$A/\$C //was betweene yo[u] and Willia[m] ap Res [ap?] W<sup>m</sup>, that was executed w[i]th yo[ur] said brother / [?] word[?] yo[u] of him sel[f]e[?]  b1-b3 · the executed BROTHER named in the Court's own article ("J[oh]n ap Ev[a]n Loyd yo[ur] brother" — quoted at THE\_TWO\_LOCKS n. vr9, "so spelled at that site"); "executed w[i]th yo[ur] said brother" = the two-executions premise in the interrogatory itself (quoted, n. vr9); the kinsman in the three-element form (4A #16); the interline unresolved (ledger D10)

008.art3] And did not yo[u] <sup>[before?]</sup> [pre]ferre a bill into the Starrechamber agaynst M[r] Justi[ce] [B]arker and others, for a supposed wronge & iniurie done unto <sup>W[illia]m</sup> / ap Res ap Willia[m] and to others, and for other supposed misdemeano[urs]; And why did not you rather complaine of yo[ur] owne and yo[ur] said brothers wron[gs], if any yo[u] have receaved, then of their wronge[s] b2-b3 · the wronged man in the FULL three-element form, the <sup>W[illia]m</sup> interlined (the manuscript assembling the name — cf. 004.L25); the "why not your own wrongs" probe = the standing question at the origin

**Modernized (editorial aid — never quotable):** 1. Did you report, since the 6th of October last, that Richard Barker esquire, one of His Majesty's Justices of the Great Sessions of Anglesey in North Wales, should be no more Justice there? To whom, and what moved you? 2. Do you believe in your conscience that John ap Evan Lloyd your brother was wrongfully indicted, condemned and executed for murdering his [Annis?] — and were YOU justly or wrongfully indicted for that fact? What quarrels were between you and William ap Rees ap William, that was executed with your said brother? 3. Did you not prefer a bill into the Star Chamber against Mr Justice Barker and others, for a supposed wrong done unto William ap Rees ap William and others — and why did you not rather complain of your own and your said brother's wrongs, if any you have received, than of their wrongs?

---

## ARTICLES 4-5 — THE PROCUREMENT AND FRAMING PROBES — ART4-ART5 • TILES B3-B5

---

**008.art4]** And whoe did p[ro]cure[?], devise or intreat[e] you to p[re]ferre the said bill <sup>[or to?] be of Counsell</sup>, or gave you direction or instruction for the drawinge thereof: did S[i]r R[i]chard Bulkeley knight, Daniell Bulkeley, Trustram Bulkeley, Launcelott Bulkeley his bretheren, «or» Willi[a]m Bulkeley his nephewe, or any of them [procure,] devise or intreate yo[u] to p[re]ferre the said bill; or who did yt; did you at any tyme acquainte them, or any of them, w[i]th the contents thereof, either before or after the exhibitinge thereof ( ) — did not [one?/yo[u]?] <sup>△</sup> John Towneley, Councello[r] at Lawe, drawe or penne the said bill / *b3-b4 · the FIVE-Bulkeley list with the scribe's own kinship glosses ("his bretheren", "his nephewe" — the family map the answers never volunteer); "Trustram" is the scribal spelling (4A #17); the «or» is a squeezed second-ink insertion; or to be of Counsell is a NEW-found interline (4A #14); the empty parentheses are REAL; the drawer-question names Towneley*

**008.art5]** Item: did not the said S[i]r R[i]chard, Daniell, Tristram, Launcelott and Willia[m] Bulkeley, or any of them, write, send or speake to <sup>the</sup> said Towneley in that behalf; or whether they or any of them did give or send any direction or instruction for the framinge of the said bill. By whome did they or any of them <sup>[Hugh Loyd?] <sup>△</sup> [ ] or Willia[m]</sup> <sup>[K/H]endr[i/y]ck <sup>△</sup> or</sup> so send the said instructions or directions: And from whome came the same: And whether any other p[er]son or p[er]sons <sup>be[fore?]</sup> / <sup>[y<sup>2</sup>][ffool?] <sup>△△</sup></sup> gave any direction <sup>to you or</sup> to the said Towneley for the drawinge thereof, to yo[ur] knowledge, yea or noe: And what be there [names], & [ev]ery of their names / *b3-b5 · the interlined INTERMEDIARY NAMES ("[Hugh Loyd?]" — ledger A3; "Willia[m] [K/H]endrick" — ledger A2, the mirror of the answer-side "Penrithe/Kenwricke" contest at 004.R39, the PRIME TNA name-pair) with a trailing "or"; two strike events (4A #4, #15 = ledger D13); the <sup>to you or</sup> caret insertion — the Articles revised as drafted; quoted at THE\_TWO\_LOCKS n. vst2 ("maynetenaunces" framework context)*

**Modernized (editorial aid — never quotable):** 4. Who procured, devised or entreated you to prefer the said bill, <sup>or to be of counsel</sup>, or gave you direction or instruction for the drawing thereof — did Sir Richard Bulkeley knight, Daniell Bulkeley, Trustram Bulkeley, Lancelot Bulkeley his brethren, or William Bulkeley his nephew, or any of them? Did you at any time acquaint them with its contents, before or after the exhibiting? Did not John Towneley, counsellor at law, draw or pen the said bill? 5. Did the five Bulkeleys, or any of them, write, send or speak to the said Towneley in that behalf, or give or send any direction or instruction for the FRAMING of the said bill? By whom — <sup>[Hugh Loyd?] or William [K]endrick?</sup> — were the instructions sent, and from whom came the

same? Did any other person give any direction, <sup>to you or</sup> to the said Towneley, for the drawing thereof? Name them, every one.

---

## ARTICLES 6-7 — THE MONEY AND HOUSEHOLD PROBES — ART6-ART7 • TILES B5-B7

---

**008.art6]** Item: what some or somes of money did you receave, or shall yo[u],*VERDICT 2026-08-10 (professional final, full-text conform pass): "or had you" — mirrored in the deposition's answer 6, "he did not receive nor had any sum or sums of money"; the "shall" future-token does not survive; TWO\_LOCKS re-anchored its future-payment point to arts. 15/11 same day* of the said S[i]r R[i]chard & Willm Bulkeley, or of either of them, & of Willm ap Rees himselfe,*VERDICT 2026-08-10: final reads "William ap Rees Humfrey" — the z13 Humfry x3 letterform verdict extends to this site; "himselfe" retired* or of any other p[er]son or p[er]sons, by their or any of their direction or appointm[en]t, directlie or indirectlie, sithence the vj<sup>te</sup> day of October last. Declare what yo[u] have [so<sup>u2</sup>/yo<sup>u2</sup>] shall or received<sup>△</sup>; And what moved them thereunto: did they or any of them [re]quest yo[u] to be of ~~Councell~~<sup>△</sup> in the drawinge of the said [Rees?/bill?]: did they or any of them give or promise yo[u] any fee for the same . b5-b6 • THE FUTURE-PAYMENT PROBE — "or shall yo[u]" (quoted at THE\_TWO\_LOCKS n. vst2 and V.B body: every article hunts the accuser, down to money not yet paid); "shall" is IN-LINE (4A #5); the ~~Councell~~ strike-candidate (4A #6) sits in a CRAMPED/SQUEEZED fee clause (4A #18) — physical revision at exactly the counsel-fee clause

**008.art7]** And did not you, a litle before you were imprisoned, resorte to the said S[i]r R[i]chard[s] house in Channell Rowe, or els where the said S[i]r R[i]chard laye or remayned: And did not yo[u] keepe companie w[i]th his men, and diett yo[ur]self w[i]th them where they comonlie used to diett: And did not yo[u][r]self keepe companie w[i]th the said Willia[m] Bulkeley, and what conference had yo[u] w[i]th the said Willia[m] Bulkeley touchinge the proceedinge upon the said [bill] b6-b7 • "Channell Rowe" verified at magnification (z8art7\_b1 — the instrument-side witness in the 005.L27 Rowe contest; "Newburgh Towne" retracted)

**Modernized (editorial aid — never quotable):** 6. What sum or sums of money did you receive — or had you *conformed 2026-08-10 per the professional final; was "OR SHALL YOU" —* of the said Sir Richard and William Bulkeley, or of William ap Rees Humfrey *conformed; was "himself" —*, or of any other person, by their direction or appointment, directly or indirectly, since the 6th of October last? What moved them? Did they request you to be of ~~counsel~~ in the drawing of the said [bill]; did they give or promise you any fee for the same? 7. Did you not, a little before you were imprisoned, resort to Sir Richard's house in Channel Row, or elsewhere he lay; keep company with his men and diet yourself with them where they commonly used to diet; keep company with William Bulkeley — and what conference had you with him touching the proceeding upon the said bill?

---

## ARTICLES 8-10 — THE ESTATE, THE CHAMBER, THE WORD-PASSING — ART8-ART10 • TILES B7-B10

---

**008.art8]** Item: what lande[s?] ten[emen]t[e]s[s?] goods and cha[ttells] [had] you at the tyme you were acquitted of the said murther: And where were they: And what some of money had you sithence of yo[ur] father: And what some or somes of money did you disburse or lay out sithence the said [vj]th of October, as well in suits of lawe as for yo[ur] o[w]ne expenses: And howe many suites or accions have [you?] dependinge in the Cowrte of

Westm̃ or els where, against the def[endan]ts in the said bill named, or any of them, sithence the said vj[th] of October: And who paid any p[ar]te of the said charges besides yo[ur]self: And who advised yo[u] to take the said ~~{struck: the said}~~ accions, and what moved yo[u] soe to doe / b7-b8 · "at the tyme you were ACQUITTED of the said murther" — the Court's own article presupposes trial and acquittal (converging with 010's "hardly escaped and was found not guiltie"; against HLS f.82v's "Ignoramus ... by speciall favour" — the two-proceedings question, ledger C5); the four-member estate formula (4A #7); "Cowrte" SINGULAR (4A #9)

**008.art9]** And howe often did you conferr and talke w[i]th the said S[i]r R[i]chard Bulkeley & Willia[m] Bulkeley, or either of them, the last terme, touchinge the said bill in the Starrechamber. And did not y[ou], upon thursdaye after you were lett at [large?]  by the Warden of the ffleete[s] man, secretlie conferre w[i]th the said S[i]r R[i]chard Bulkeley in his chamber about the same; And what did he [ad]vise you to doe therein / b8-b9 · the "secret chamber conference" charge (answered at 006.L28-L36); "lett at [large?]" vs the answer-side "att⟨ch⟩ed/attended" (006.L30) — the Thursday-scene verb pair, ledger C2

**008.art10]** And who passed his worde to the Warden of the ffleete for yo[ur] appearance in the Starrechamber. Was yt not the said Towneley <sup>and one of S[i]r R[i]chard[e]s men in livery</sup> that passed his worde for you. And at whose request did he yt. And did not the said S[i]r R[i]chard or Willia[m] Bulkeley, or any of their frends or followers, desire the said Towneley soe to doe. And promise to save him harmeles, yea or noe. And is not the said Towneley of kynred w[i]th the said S[i]r R[i]chard / b9-b10 · the LIVERY interline (4A #10 resolved: opener "and" — a SECOND suspected word-passer ADDED, not a Towneley replacement; same second-ink family); the kinship probe on Towneley (answered by the professional-relationship fact, 006.R6-R7)

**Modernized (editorial aid — never quotable):** 8. What lands, tenements, goods and chattels had you at the time you were acquitted of the said murder — and where? What money have you had since of your father? What have you disbursed since the said 6th of October, in suits of law and your own expenses? How many suits or actions have you depending in the Court of Westminster or elsewhere against the defendants named in the said bill? Who paid any part of the charges besides yourself; who advised you to take the said actions, and what moved you? 9. How often did you confer with Sir Richard and William Bulkeley last term touching the said bill? And did you not, upon Thursday after you were let at [large?] by the Warden of the Fleet's man, secretly confer with Sir Richard Bulkeley in his chamber about the same — and what did he advise you to do therein? 10. Who passed his word to the Warden of the Fleet for your appearance? Was it not the said Towneley <sup>and one of Sir Richard's men in livery</sup>? At whose request? Did not Sir Richard or William Bulkeley desire Towneley so to do, and promise to save him harmless? And is not the said Towneley of kindred with the said Sir Richard?

---

## ARTICLES 11-12 — THE COURIER PROBE AND THE SURETIES — ART11-ART12 • TILES B10-B12

---

**008.art11]** And whether did you cary any letter or token, sithence the said vj[th] of October, to any p[er]son or p[er]sons w[i]thin the Co[m] of Anglesey, from the said S[i]r Richard Bulkl[e]y, for any money to be payed or delivered you. To whome did you cary any such l[ett]re or token. And what money did you receave of the said p[er]son or p[er]sons, and [by] whome any such l[ett]re or token was sent; or of any other servant, bayliffe or frends of the said S[i]r R[i]chard Bulkeley <sup>or of the [him?]selfe</sup> [Ro?]rland [T?]horp[?]   and

of whome you receaved the same. And whether the said S[i]r R[i]chard & Willia[m]  
[B]ulkeley, or either of them, did paye or promise to paye to the said Towneley any  
some or somes of money, reward or recompence, for his paynes and care taken, or to be  
taken, in the said suite in the Starrechamber / *b10-b11 · the "Coñ" abbreviation resolved as the  
standard Comitatu/County form; the 2-3 unresolved name-like tokens ("[Ro?]rland [T?]horp[?]" — 4A #19,  
TOP TNA: a possible NEW cast member — with [K/H]endrick the instrument may name TWO otherwise-  
unrecorded intermediaries); the Towneley-payment tail = the future-services clause the answer tracks  
verbatim (006.R17-R21)*

**008.art12** [and?/It[e]m?]  did not you, upon a peticon preferred to my Lo[rd] Chauncello[r] for  
the continuance of the said suite upon the said bill, offer suerties to pay the hole  
[charge] yf the cause were decreed agaynst yo[u], yea or noe: Whe[ther] the said  
suerties that yo[u] soe intended to putt in; what be their [names] & [ev]ery of them;  
[and who would have?] been or made promise to be yo[ur] suerties in that behalfe, and  
what [moved them to?] the same *b11-b12 · the opener glyph is d-loop-like — Art. 12's separate  
existence on this leaf is a live structural question (margins slip-obscured; 009's margin "14" still fixes  
SIXTEEN articles) (4A #11); the article runs off the TORN FOOT — no subscription on this membrane; the  
instrument is UNSIGNED (quoted context, n. vst2)*

**Modernized (editorial aid — never quotable):** 11. Did you carry any letter or token, since the said 6th of  
October, to any person within the County of Anglesey, from the said Sir Richard Bulkeley, for any money to  
be paid or delivered you? To whom; what money did you receive; by whom was any such letter or token sent  
— or of any other servant, bailiff or friends of the said Sir Richard Bulkeley [names unresolved]? And did Sir  
Richard and William Bulkeley, or either of them, pay or promise to pay the said Towneley any sum, reward or  
recompence, for his pains and care taken, OR TO BE TAKEN, in the said suit? 12. Did you not, upon a petition  
preferred to my Lord Chancellor for the continuance of the said suit, offer sureties to pay the whole charge if  
the cause were decreed against you? What be their names, every one; who promised to be your sureties, and  
what moved them?

---

## STRUCTURE, REVISION STRATUM AND PHYSICAL RECORD

---

**Census (4A, FIRM):** 86 lines (L1-L86 in \_WORKING\_008\_LINE\_INDEX.md, half-column band IDs) — 4 short  
runover tails isolated as scribal habit (L41 "[fee?] for the same" · L48 "bill" · L63 "doe therein" · L80  
"chamber"). **The instrument-level result:** this leaf is ONE actively-revised draft — five insertion/strike events,  
all in the same orange/faded second-ink family: the «or» before William Bulkeley (art4) · or to be of Counsell (art4) ·  
the three-token strike + to you or (art5) · the Councell strike + squeezed fee clause (art6) · the livery interline  
(art10) — plus the art11 or of the [him?]selfe interline. **STRUCTURAL RESOLUTION (2026-07-02):** membranes 008 +  
009 = ONE continuous numbered instrument (1-16), drafted against the whole enterprise — plaintiff AND  
counsel — and administered selectively: Lloyd answered his appointed articles and was "not appointed to be  
examined" on exactly 13, 15, 16 — the drawer-directed ones, covered instead by Towneley's deposition. The  
margin carries the scribe's own article numerals; a TNA "File / Bundle 3" slip obscures the 12-zone margin.

Physical: tall single leaf (2862×6720); torn/stained foot (the sureties article's tail and the next article's head  
run off the tear → 009); the TNA file-slip at the left margin of band 13; the caption's order-date day-number  
uncertain (ledger B7).

---

## OPEN ITEMS ON THIS MEMBRANE

---

1. **OPEN** — the intermediary names (art5; ledger A2/A3): [Hugh Loyd?] [ ] or Willia[m] [K/H]endr[i/y]ck or — the article⇌answer mirror with 004.R39's "Penrithe/Kenwricke" contest is the PRIME TNA name-pair. Names above all.
2. **OPEN** — the art11 name-like tokens (4A #19, TOP): "[Ro?]rland [T?]horp[?]" — 2–3 unresolved main-line tokens; a possible new cast member. TNA.
3. **OPEN** — the wife's name (art2; ledger A6): "h[is] A[nn/m]i[s]" — Annis (Annes/Agnes) or Amis (Amice); minims. TNA.
4. **OPEN** — the ~~Councell~~ strike-candidate + squeezed fee clause (art6; 4A #6/#18): iron-gall-dark bar in eB, crease not fully excluded; the revision sits at exactly the counsel-fee clause. TNA.
5. **OPEN** — structural: the Art-12 opener glyph (separate article vs continuation, 4A #11); the answered-set variance (Lloyd's answer "4" ≠ engrossed Art. 4 — the administered set was likely a variant/earlier state, ledger E1); the order-date day-number (ledger B7); the acquitted-vs-Ignoramus two-proceedings question (ledger C5).
6. **OPEN** — the struck tokens (art5; ledger D13): be[fore?] and the two-token head strike [y<sup>2</sup>][ffeo!]. TNA.
7. **VARIANT** — sweep-up: the art6 "shall or receaved" word order (4A #5); "lett at [large?]" vs the answer-side verb (ledger C2); the art4 pre-Towneley blotted token; "Trustram" scribal spelling; the art2 interline; the empty parentheses (real). TNA.

---

## CROSS-REFERENCES

---

Article text authority: \_WORKING\_008\_TRANSCRIPTION.md (dedicated sweep, 4A fold-in complete, corrected article map). Line-grain: \_WORKING\_008\_LINE\_INDEX.md (86-line census, 19-item delta log). Ledger: \_PRECISION\_PASS\_LEDGER.md (A2/A3/A6, B7, C2/C5, D10/D13, E1/E2). Quoted-in-print rows: QUOTATION\_CONCORDANCE.md §A (A1, A6, A7 contexts). Companion: READING\_COMPANION\_STAC\_8\_203\_38.md (008 section). Method: ../TRANSCRIPTION\_AND\_ANALYSIS\_METHODOLOGY.md (v1.3, §18.5).

*Canonical tiles cut 2026-07-09 with ../\_crop\_stac\_v3.py from the fixity-pinned master (sha256 d4d9c3e71ea8...); manifest crops/canon/\_manifest.jsonl; hashes in crops/\_FIXITY\_SHA256\_CROPS.txt. Coverage proven y 134–6720; the head strip is anchored to the 4C full-extent screen. The canon series is the citation layer; verdicts trace to the as-read series (4A tiles incl. the rescued set, the s8 bands, and the v008 name zooms) in the line index, transcription file, and ledger.*