
RE-ZOOM VERDICTS — 2026-07-02 (FABLE-5 RE-SWEEP, VERIFICATION ZOOMS)

Working document

Corpus	02_STAC_8_203_38
Source	_WORKING_REZOOM_VERDICTS_2026-07-02.md
Integrity	sha256 965498204116 · rendered 2026-07-09
Status	<i>Derived render for review. The markdown source file governs; the modernized layer is never quotable; all readings are provisional pending the commissioned professional transcription (names above all). Canonical apparatus: _PRECISION_PASS_LEDGER.md; method: TRANSCRIPTION_AND_ANALYSIS_METHODOLOGY.md.</i>

HOW TO READ THIS RENDER (CONVENTIONS LEGEND)

strike through	struck in the manuscript (source: ~text~)
superscript	scribal interlineation or raised letters (source: ^text^, m^r)
serif (Cambria) span	diplomatic text quoted inline (source: `text`); the type shift marks the source-text voice; conventions inside are rendered too
boxed serif panel	diplomatic transcription block
gray italic [[...]]	pass-annotation — editorial apparatus, NOT manuscript text
CONFIRM UPGRADE LEAN CONFLICT	delta-log verdict class: green = confirmed/convergent · blue = upgraded/new · amber = lean/open/variant · red = retraction/conflict
[?] / [...] / [] · « » · · △ ✓ eB X	sigla printed verbatim per methodology §6/§9
★	

CONTENTS

§(F) THE TENEMENT NAMES — FIRST NAME EXTENDED: "TY[D]IN LLA[Y]TH[Y]N[?]" ; "AMBLOWGHE" ✓✓ ; SECOND NAME PENDING ONE MORE BAND	3
§(i) THE 007 AUTOGRAPH — VERDICT: "RES AP EV[A]N[?] LLOID[E]" — THE "JHON" PARSE DOWNGRADED.....	4
§(G) THE WIFE'S NAME — VERDICT: "HIS A[NN/M]IS" = ANNIS (ANNES/AGNES) OR AMIS (AMICE); MINIM-AMBIGUOUS	4
§(A) 008 ART-7 PLACE-NAME — VERDICT: "CHANNELL ROWE" ✓ ; "[N]EWBUR[GH] [T]OWNE" RETRACTED.....	4
§(C) THE ART-5 INTERMEDIARIES — 008 SIDE: "^[HARRY?/HUGH?] LOYD [THE __?]" OR WILLM KEN[W]RICK OR^"	4
§(C)-ANSWER — 004R ANSWER 5 AT ZOOM: "NOR WILLM [K/P]ENRI[CK/TH][E] NOR" → CONVERGED SKELETON "W[ILLIA]M KEN[W]RICK[E]"	5
§(D) THE ART-1 HEARER — VERDICT: "GEORGE LL[EWELL?]EN" (A FLOURISHED LL-SUSPENSION; "LLOYD" DISFAVORED)	5

§(D') THE COURIER — VERDICT: IN-LINE "HUMFREY," INTERLINE "^ANTHONIE LEADMAN^" (DIRECTIONALITY OF THE VERIFICATION-PASS NOTE CORRECTED)	6
§(H) THE ATTORNEY — VERDICT: "M[R] JONES" (MONOGRAM HONORIFIC; "W[ILLIA]M" NOT EXCLUDED)	6
§(E) 004R STRUCK ZONE (ANSWER 4) — PARTIAL RECOVERY: AN ABANDONED "ACQUAINTED HIM" FORMULATION.....	6
§(B) THE SUM — VERDICT: "THIRTIE[-ODDE?]" (£30-ODD); "THIRTENE"/£13 DISFAVORED; THE "FF—" APPEARANCE RESOLVED.....	7

Working record for the post-re-sweep verification zooms (queue items a–i, _RESUME_TRANSCRIPTION_NOTES.md NEXT ACTION §2). Crops: session scratchpad stac_crops/crop_z*.jpg, cut 2026-07-02 at q78/2200px. Conventions as elsewhere: [?] uncertain · [...]/[_] supplied/illegible · ^{word} interlineation · ~~text~~ scribal strike.

Standing rule: these verdicts refine the WORKING FILES only. Nothing enters THE_TWO_LOCKS.md until the professional TNA transcription confirms + the user adjudicates.

Item	Target	Prior readings	Verdict
(a)	008 Art-7 place-name	"[N]ewbur[gh] [T]owne" (008 sweep) vs "Chanall Rowe" (005L answer 7)	✓ RESOLVED: "Channell Rowe" — see §(a)
(b)	THE SUM (answer 6 ⇌ answer 14)	£13 "thirtene" (first sweep 007) / "thirtie" (005L re-sweep) / "ff—" strokes (007 re-sweep) / HLS "30li" (different transaction)	"thirtie[-odde?]" — see §(b)
(c)	the Art-5 intermediary	"W[illia]m [P/F]enrith[e]" (004R) ⇌ "Willia[m] [K]endrick[?]" (008 Art. 5 interline)	<i>pending</i>
(d)	the Art-1 hearer	"George LI[oyd?] / W_en[?]" (003R)	"George LI[ewell?]en" (suspension) — see §(d)
(d')	the courier interline	"Hurfrey Anthonie L[ea/oa]dman" (verification pass) / "Anthonie[?] [L]eadman" (007 re-sweep)	<i>pending</i>
(e)	004R struck zone (bands 3–4)	" struck line " after "testifie his knowledg"	<i>pending</i>
(f)	the tenement names	"Ty[ddyn?] [_?]" + "Ty[dd]yn [K?]iew[?]" (005R)	<i>pending</i>
(g)	the wife's name	"his A[nn/m]is" (008 Art. 1)	<i>pending</i>
(h)	the attorney's forename	"[M[r]/W[illia]m] Jones, this ex[aminan]ts Atturney in this Courte" (007)	<i>pending</i>

Item	Target	Prior readings	Verdict
(i)	the deponent's autograph	"Res ap Jhon[?] Lloid[e]" (007 b5)	<i>pending</i>

Closed-out deferred items (2026-07-02):

- **Boyd/Boys (010 right foot):** NOT re-cut — the 2026-07-01 verification pass already zoom-exhausted it ("terminal crossed by the paraph — irreducibly ambiguous at this scan"). Professional item.
- **The 010 left-of-center foot subscription ("[Strange?/Stroud?]):** three dedicated attempts (z10sub_b1 q78; z10sub2_b1 q88 shifted; **z10sub3_b1 grayscale + autocontrast ×2 upscale**) — the ink registers only as ghost strokes; the autocontrast pass amplifies parchment mottling, not letterforms. **Formally SCAN-LIMITED** — requires professional imaging (raking light / multispectral) or sight of the original. The body lines above it (office-fees answer → aver-and-prove → costs prayer) match the grid readings.
- **THE ZOOM QUEUE IS CLOSED:** (a) resolved (Channell Rowe); (b) resolved-provisional (thirtie[-odde?]); (c) converged (W[illia]m Ken[w]rick[e]); (d) refined (George Ll[ewell?]en, suspension); (d') corrected (in-line Humfrey, interline ^{Anthonie Leadman}); (e) partial recovery (struck "acqu[aintet]"); (f) first name extended (Lla[y]th[y]n), second scan-limited ([K/H]iew); (g) minim-ambiguous pair (Annis/Amis); (h) M[r] Jones + role; (i) autograph "Res ap Ev[a]n[?] Lloid[e]". All name-verdicts remain **WORKING** readings — professional TNA confirmation + user adjudication before any use in THE_TWO_LOCKS.md.

§(F) THE TENEMENT NAMES — FIRST NAME EXTENDED: "Ty[d]in Lla[y]th[y]n[?]; "AMBLOWGHE" ✓✓; SECOND NAME PENDING ONE MORE BAND

- **z5tydd_b1** (005R, src y 1268–1629): "...& also some housh[o]ld stuffe w[hi]ch [was left him] by his g[ra]ndMother[?]; And also at the [same] tyme this ex[aminan]t had an interest in a tenem[en]t ..." — "grandMother" favored at zoom ("godmother" not excluded).
- **z5tydd_b2** (src y 1541–1902): "called Ty[d]in Lla[y]th[y]n[?], lyeng[e] in the . County of [Anglesey], [at?] Amblowghe; & also ^{some} interest in another tenement [in] the [pa]rishe of Amblowghe called <name below the band edge>..." — (i) the first tenement name EXTENDS the sweep's "Ty[ddyn?] [__?]" : skeleton "Lla[y]th[y]n" (cf. Welsh *Tyddyn Llaeth-*, "dairy croft"; minim-heavy, professional confirm); (ii) "Amblowghe" = **Amlwch, twice, zoom-clean**; (iii) the second tenement's name ("Ty[dd]yn [K?]iew[?]" in the sweep) sits just below this crop — supplemental band z5tydd_b3 cut.
- **z5tydd_b3** (src y 1838–2113): "...[another tenement in the parishe of Amblowghe called] [T]yddyn [K/H]iew[?], lyeng[e] in the [pari]she [__]; And further this ex[aminan]t [s]aieth that [since he w]as so acquitted he hath had [of his ffather] ..." — the second name REPRODUCES the sweep reading but does not improve: a short K/H-initial element after "Tyddyn" (cf. Welsh *cyw?*), **irreducible at scan resolution** → professional-transcription item (joins Boyd/Boys in that category). The "^{some}" interline and the "so acquitted → father's money" transition are confirmed in passing.

§(I) THE 007 AUTOGRAPH — VERDICT: "Res ap Ev[a]n[?] Lloyd[e]" — THE "JHON" PARSE DOWNGRADED

- **z7sig_b1** (007, src y 2134–2367): "<paraph/swash> Res ap [E]v[a]n Lloyd[e]" — the first cluster's terminal favors long-s ("Res," not "Redd" — the read-back parse stays disfavored); the "ap" cluster's descender fits; the PATRONYMIC cluster ((loop)-a/o-n) reads "Ev[a]n" as naturally as "Jhon."
- **Decisive context:** the deponent is "**Res ap Ev[a]n Loyd**" (008 caption) — JOHN is the brother's patronymic slot ("J[oh]n ap Ev[a]n Loyd yo[ur] brother," Art. 1). A man signs his own name: the earlier band-level "Jhon" is downgraded as pattern-bleed from the brother's name. Reading: "**Res ap Ev[a]n[?] Lloyd[e]**" — **the complainant's autograph subscription.** E/J crux flagged for professional confirmation.

§(G) THE WIFE'S NAME — VERDICT: "HIS A[NN/M]is" = ANNIS (ANNES/AGNES) OR AMIS (AMICE); MINIM-AMBIGUOUS

- **z8annis_b1** (008, src y 591–927, Art. 1 at zoom): "...And doe you beleve in yo[ur] conscience that J[oh]n ap Ev[a]n Loyd yo[ur] brother was [at] the last Sessions of the said [Count]y [of] Anglesey wrongfullie indicted, condemned & executed for murtheringe of his A[nn/m]is or otherwise; And whether y[o]u were ..." — the surrounding text is now verbatim-solid at zoom.
- The name: "A" + minim-train + "is" — "**Annis**" (= Annes/Agnes) and "**Amis**" (= Amice) both fit; both are genuine Tudor women's names. The construction "his A—is" (possessive + Christian name, no "wife") confirms the instrument names her by FIRST NAME ONLY; her identification as the wife rests on 010 r11 ("the wiefte of the said Elder brother"). NAME — professional confirmation required.

§(A) 008 ART-7 PLACE-NAME — VERDICT: "CHANNELL ROWE" ✓; "[N]EWBUR[GH] [T]OWNE" RETRACTED

- **z8art7_b1** (008, src y 3128–3448, margin "7"): "**And did not you, a litle before you were imprisoned, resorte to the said S[i]r Richard[s] house in Channell Rowe, or els where the said S[i]r Richard laye or remayned; And did not y[o]u keepe companie w[i]th his men and diett ...**" — at magnification the place-name is unambiguous: "**Channell Rowe**," matching the answer's "in Channall Rowe" (005L answer 7). The band-level "[N]ewbur[gh] [T]owne" is **retracted** — instrument and answer now agree the house is in **Channel (Cannon) Row, Westminster**: Art. 7 probes the complainant's LONDON conduct before imprisonment (resort, company with "his men," diet, the William-Bulkeley conference), not Anglesey. First-sweep "Newborough" for this clause was likewise wrong. (*z8art7_b2 not needed — Art-7 tail already solid in the sweep.*)
- Band also catches the Art-6 tail at zoom (counsel-fee probe: "...moved them thereunto · Did they or anie of them [g]ive anie ffee ... of Councell in the drawinge of the said Bill..." — consistent with the sweep text.

§(C) THE ART-5 INTERMEDIARIES — 008 SIDE: "^[HARRY?/HUGH?] LOYD [THE __?] OR WILLM KEN[W]RICK OR^"

- **z8art5_b1** (src y 2244–2565, margin "5"): body confirmed verbatim — "**Item did not the said S[i]r Richard [Daniell, Tristram, Launcelott & William — tail faded] / Bulkeley or any of them, write, send or speake**"

to the said Towneley / in that behalf, or whether they or any of them did give or send any / direction or instruction for the framinge of the said bill . By whome ..." — "framinge" clean.

- **z8art5_b2** (src y 2487–2808): "did they or any of them ^[Harry?/Hugh?] Loyd [the?] [] or Willm Ken[w/b]rick or so send the said instructions or directions: And from whome came the same; And whether any other p[er]son or p[er]sons ~~[Before?]~~ gave any direction ^{to you or} to the said Towneley for the drawinge thereof, to y[our] knowledg, yea or noe. And what be theire & ev[er]y of their names."
- Refinements vs the sweep: (i) the second intermediary's surname skeleton is "Ken[w/b]rick" — best candidate the Welsh **Cynwrig** → **Kenwrick/Kenrick** (Anglesey-appropriate); "[H]endrick" drops; (ii) the first intermediary's forename reads "Harry" as plausibly as "Hugh" (the sweep's "[Hugh Loyd?]", with a following word ("the []" — an epithet? "the elder"?); (iii) the struck opening resolves as a single short word ("Before?"); the ^{"to you or"} caret is clean.
- Cross-check pending vs 004R answer-5's "W[illia]m [P/F]enrith[e]" → see §(c)-answer below.

§(c)-ANSWER — 004R ANSWER 5 AT ZOOM: "NOR WILLM [K/P]ENRI[CK/TH][E] NOR" → CONVERGED SKELETON "W[ILLIA]M KEN[W]RICK[E]"

- **z4penr_b1** (src y 2789–3150): alignment band — "...[Tristram] / Bulkley or [ei]ther of them, ~~[to th?]~~ [or speake] to this ex[aminan]t ... / [n]either did they or anie of them give or [send] anie / direction or instruction for the framinge ..." — the answer tracks Art. 5's wording clause by clause; a short struck false-start after "to this ex[aminan]t."
- **z4penr_b2** (src y 3062–3423): "[anie] direction or ins[truction for the framinge] of the ^{s[ai]d} Bill; nor Willm [K/P]enri[ck/th][e], nor anie other p[er]son or p[er]sons, otherwise then [this ex[aminan]t hath] before declared, gave ..." — at magnification the initial is K/P-ambiguous and the terminal reads "-icke" as plausibly as "-ithe" (the secretary ck-cluster mimics th).
- **VERDICT (c)**: the article's "Willm Ken[w/b]rick" and the answer's "Willm [K/P]enri[ck/th]e" are two renderings of ONE name; converged skeleton "W[illia]m Ken[w]rick[e]" (Welsh **Cynwrig** → **Kenwrick/Kenrick**, at home in Anglesey). "Penrith" disfavored, not excluded; the sweep's "[P/F]enrith[e]" and "[H]endrick" both superseded. NAME — professional confirmation required before any use.
- The first intermediary ("^[Harry?/Hugh?] Loyd [the _?]") appears ONLY in the article's interline — the answer names Kenrick alone plus "anie other p[er]son" — an asymmetry worth noting (the answer doesn't deny Loyd by name).

§(d) THE ART-1 HEARER — VERDICT: "GEORGE LL[EWELL?])EN" (A FLOURISHED LL-SUSPENSION; "LLOYD" DISFAVORED)

- **z3george_b1** (003R, src y 1315–1642): "...[that yf] he did, that this ex[aminan]t [might not receive] his trialle under him; but ^{to} whome this ex[aminan]t so said, he doth not now [per]fectly [re]member; But [saieth it] was to **George [Ll/ff)—en[?]**..."
- **z3george_b2** (src y 1562–1890): "[a]s he thinketh, it was to **George Ll(suspension stroke)en**; & that the occasion of this ex[aminan]ts so sayinge was upon [his] ^{then beinge} newly com[e] from [London, and] it beinge then demaunded [also] of this ex[aminan]t [whe]ther ..."
- **VERDICT**: the surname is written as a **suspension** — double-L initial loop + long elision flourish + "-en" terminal. Best candidates the **Llewellyn/Llewen** family; the first-sweep "Ll[oyd?]" is DISFAVORED (no

terminal -d in either band; and note a "George Lloyd" would be kin-adjacent, whereas the deponent hedges "as he thinketh" about a mere acquaintance). NAME — professional confirmation required.

- Context confirmed at zoom: the remark was table-talk upon Lloyd's return from London, ANSWERING a question about the judges' removals ("it beinge then demaunded of this ex[aminan]t whether...").

§(D') THE COURIER — VERDICT: IN-LINE "HUMFREY," INTERLINE "^ANTHONIE LEADMAN^" (DIRECTIONALITY OF THE VERIFICATION-PASS NOTE CORRECTED)

- **z7cour_b1** (007, src y 1183–1394): "receaved ffrom the ^{s[ai]d} W[illia]m [ap Rees himselfe], w[hi]ch was brought[t] him by Humfrey ^{Anthonie Leadman} the Warden of the ffleet[es Man] ..." — at magnification the CLERK'S LINE carries "Humfrey" (tall H-loop, um-minims, -frey terminal) and the smaller INTERLINE above carries "Anthonie Leadman," caret anchored before "the Warden."
- This is the REVERSE of the 2026-07-01 verification-pass note ("scribe wrote 'Anthonie,' inserted 'Humfrey'"): the deposition's first word was **Humfrey** — exactly what Lloyd says in answer 6 (005L "by Humfrey," no surname) — and the interline **corrects/completes** it to "Anthonie Leadman." Best synthesis: the man Lloyd knew loosely as "Humfrey" is identified by the examiners' correction as **Anthonie Leadman, the Warden of the Fleet's man**. Both forenames are attested IN the manuscript; the correction direction favors "Anthonie Leadman" as the true name. NAME — professional confirmation required.

§(H) THE ATTORNEY — VERDICT: "M[R] JONES" (MONOGRAM HONORIFIC; "W[ILLIA]M" NOT EXCLUDED)

- **z7atty_b1** (007, src y 1335–1572): "...& [th]e other was delivered unto M[r] Jones, this ex[aminan]ts Atturnie in this Courte" — the surname **Jones** is firm at zoom; the preceding monogram reads "M" more naturally than "W" (two peaks + raised terminal). The ROLE clause "this ex[aminan]ts Atturnie in this Courte" is clean — Lloyd's Star Chamber attorney of record received the balance of the William-ap-Rees money.

§(E) 004R STRUCK ZONE (ANSWER 4) — PARTIAL RECOVERY: AN ABANDONED "ACQUAINTED HIM" FORMULATION

- **z4struck_b1** (src y 1416–1796): the long diagonal strike between "...to testifie his knowledg touchinge the ^{s[ai]d} matters" and "[S[i]r] Richard willed this ex[aminan]t to bringe [him] the ^{s[ai]d} Bill" carries, legible under the ink, "...acqu[aintet?] [him?]..." amid ~8–10 struck words: the abandoned draft ≈ "~~{and before the?} drawinge[?] [thereof this examinant] acquainted him [therewith?}.~~"
- Significance: the revision runs AWAY from voluntary disclosure ("acquainted him" — the very verb of Art. 4's probe "did you at any tyme acquainte them ... w[i]th the contents thereof") and TOWARD the witness-vetting frame ("he would first see it, yf this ex[aminan]t meant to use him as a wittnes"). The answer was being shaped, mid-writing, to avoid the article's own incriminating verb.
- Full recovery of the struck words = professional task (raking light/multispectral); flagged for the TNA commission. An unresolved interline fragment sits above ("[to aver? the same?]").

§(B) THE SUM — VERDICT: "THIRTIE[-ODDE?]" (£30-ODD); "THIRTENE"/£13 DISFAVORED; THE "FF—" APPEARANCE RESOLVED

- **z7sum_b1** (007 answer 14, src y 900–1141): "otherwise ~~he~~ then the ^{s[ai]d} Som of thirtie[?], [odde?] / pownd & [s]ome [sh]illinges w[hi]ch this ex[aminan]t ..." — at magnification the "double-f" appearance of the sum word resolves as the **tall crossed ascenders of secretary "th"** (the t-h pair crossed by the tuck stroke mimics the ff ligature at band zoom). The terminal is SHORT ("-tie," + comma) — too short for "-tene": **"thirtene"/£13 disfavored**. The following token plausibly reads "odde" → "thirtie odde pownd & some shillinges" ("thirty-odd pounds and some shillings"), which would explain the loose "& some shillinges" in both attestations.
- **Coherence check:** answer 14 says "the **SAID** som" — a back-reference to answer 6 (005L), which the re-sweep read "**thirtie**[?] pownds & some shill[inges]" — the two attestations now agree on "thirtie."
- **z5sum_b1** (005L, src y 1648–1912) caught the lines just above the sum: "^{wantinge} monie[s] to beare his necessarie charges / [he] this ex[aminan]t sent unto W[illia]m ap [Rees] / [his] ffrend, to ~~borrowe~~ lend this ex[aminan]t so[me] ..." — the "~~borrowe~~ → lend" scribal strike is **CONFIRMED** clean at zoom (the deponent's framing corrected from his borrowing to the friend's lending).
- **z5sum_b2** (005L, src y 1848–2113): "...[to] ~~borrowe~~ lend this ex[aminan]t [m]onie[s] at [se]v[er]all tymes, & so thereupon the s[ai]d [] Rees, [by] Humfrey, sent this ex[aminan]t at [se]v[er]all [tymes the som of thirtie?] pownds & some [Sh]ill[inges] ..." — (i) the iterative structure is doubled ("at severall tymes" in both the request and the sending); (ii) **005L gives the courier as "Humfrey" ALONE** (no surname) — which sharpens the 007 interline: there the clerk wrote "Anthonie L[ea/oa]dman" in-line and interlined "^{Humfrey}" above (verification pass) — either a forename correction (courier = Humfrey L[ea/oa]dman, "Anthonie" a slip) or two distinct men; see §(d'); (iii) "pownds & some shill—" confirmed; the sum word itself sits at the crop's foot edge, partially visible, consistent with the short "thirtie" token.
- HLS's "30li" (the alleged murder-contract price) remains a **DIFFERENT** transaction — the numeric coincidence is noted, not merged.
-  Professional-transcription flag stands: the sum is legally material (the maintenance-money accounting).